

EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS RESULTS FOR DOUBLE PHASE PROBLEMS WITH CONVECTION TERM

LESZEK GASIŃSKI AND PATRICK WINKERT

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider quasilinear elliptic equations with double phase phenomena and a reaction term depending on the gradient. Under quite general assumptions on the convection term we prove the existence of a weak solution by applying the theory of pseudomonotone operators. Imposing some linear conditions on the gradient variable the uniqueness of the solution is obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a bounded domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, with Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$ we consider the following double phase problem with convection term

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div} (|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u + \mu(x)|\nabla u|^{q-2}\nabla u) &= f(x, u, \nabla u) && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $1 < p < q < N$, the function $\mu: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is supposed to be Lipschitz continuous and $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function, that is, $x \mapsto f(x, s, \xi)$ is measurable for all $(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ and $(s, \xi) \mapsto f(x, s, \xi)$ is continuous for a.a. $x \in \Omega$, which satisfies appropriate conditions; see hypotheses (H) and (U1), (U2) in Section 3.

The main objective of this paper is to present existence and uniqueness results for problems of type (1.1). The main novelty of our paper is the combination of both, a double phase operator and a convection term which we describe below. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first paper dealing with both notions.

To be more precise, problem (1.1) combines two interesting phenomena. The first one is the fact that the operator involved in (1.1) is the so-called double phase operator whose behavior switches between two different elliptic situations. In other words, it depends on the values of the function $\mu: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Indeed, on the set $\{x \in \Omega : \mu(x) = 0\}$ the operator will be controlled by the gradient of order p and in the case $\{x \in \Omega : \mu(x) \neq 0\}$ it is the gradient of order q . This is the reason why it is called double phase. Originally, the idea to treat such operators comes from Zhikov [30], [31], [32] who introduced such classes to provide models of strongly anisotropic materials; see also the monograph of Zhikov-Kozlov-Oleinik [33]. In order to describe this phenomenon, he introduced the functional

$$\omega \mapsto \int (|\nabla \omega|^p + \mu(x)|\nabla \omega|^q) dx, \tag{1.2}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J15, 35J62, 35J92, 35P30.

Key words and phrases. Double phase problems, convection term, pseudomonotone operators, existence results, uniqueness.

which was intensively studied in the last years. We refer to the papers of Baroni-Colombo-Mingione [2], [3], [5], Baroni-Kussi-Mingione [4], Colombo-Mingione [9], [10] and the references therein concerning the regularity. We also point out that the integrals of the form (1.2) arise in the context of functionals with non-standard growth; see the works of Cupini-Marcellini-Mascolo [11] and Marcellini [21], [22].

Recently, Perera-Squassina [27] studied double phase problems and stated an existence result which was proved via Morse theory in terms of critical groups. The corresponding eigenvalue problem of the double phase operator with Dirichlet boundary condition was analyzed by Colasuonno-Squassina [8] who proved the existence and properties of related variational eigenvalues. By applying variational methods, Liu-Dai [19] treated double phase problems and proved existence and multiplicity results.

The second interesting phenomena in our work is the appearance of a nonlinearity on the right-hand side which also depends on the gradient of the solution. Such functions are usually called convection terms. The difficulty with the gradient dependent term is the nonvariational character of the problem. Nevertheless there exists a number of papers concerning existence and multiplicity results. Our starting point in this paper is the work of Averna-Motreanu-Tornatore [1] who considered problem (1.1) with a homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition and the (p, q) -Laplacian as defined in (2.9); see Section 2.

For other existence results on quasilinear equations with convection term and the p -Laplace or the (p, q) -Laplace differential operator we refer to the papers of Bai-Gasiński-Papageorgiou [6], De Figueiredo-Girardi-Matzeu [12], Dupaigne-Ghergu-Rădulescu [13], Faraci-Motreanu-Puglisi [14], Faria-Miyagaki-Motreanu [15], Faria-Miyagaki-Motreanu-Tanaka [16], Gasiński-Papageorgiou [17], Marano-Winkert [20], Motreanu-Motreanu-Moussaoui [23], Motreanu-Tanaka [24], Motreanu-Tornatore [25], Motreanu-Winkert [26], Ruiz [28], Tanaka [29] and the references therein.

The main idea in the existence theorem of our paper is the usage of the surjectivity result for pseudomonotone operators. This can be realized by an easy condition on the convection term, in addition to the usual growth condition. For the uniqueness result we need much stronger assumptions and narrow down to the most interesting case $2 = p < q < N$ which is the generalization of the $(q, 2)$ -Laplace differential operator; see (2.9) for its definition.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For $1 \leq r < \infty$, we denote by $L^r(\Omega)$ and $L^r(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ the usual Lebesgue spaces equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_r$. If $1 < r < \infty$, then $W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)$ stand for the Sobolev spaces endowed with the norms $\|\cdot\|_{1,r}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1,r,0}$, respectively.

Let $\mathcal{H}: \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the function

$$(x, t) \mapsto t^p + \mu(x)t^q$$

where $1 < p < q < N$ and

$$\frac{q}{p} < 1 + \frac{1}{N}, \quad \mu: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ is Lipschitz continuous.} \quad (2.1)$$

The Musielak-Orlicz space $L^{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$L^{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \mid u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable and } \rho_{\mathcal{H}}(u) := \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{H}(x, |u|) dx < +\infty \right\}.$$

While equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \inf \left\{ \tau > 0 : \rho_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\frac{u}{\tau} \right) \leq 1 \right\},$$

the space $L^{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ becomes uniformly convex and so a reflexive Banach space. Furthermore we define

$$L_{\mu}^q(\Omega) = \left\{ u \mid u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable and } \int_{\Omega} \mu(x)|u|^q dx < +\infty \right\}$$

and endow it with the seminorm

$$\|u\|_{q,\mu} = \left(\int_{\Omega} \mu(x)|u|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

From Colasuonno-Squassina [8, Proposition 2.15] we have the continuous embeddings

$$L^q(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega) \cap L_{\mu}^q(\Omega).$$

For $u \neq 0$ we see that $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}}\right) = 1$ and so, it follows that

$$\min \{ \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}^p, \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}^q \} \leq \|u\|_p^p + \|u\|_{q,\mu}^q \leq \max \{ \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}^p, \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}^q \} \quad (2.2)$$

for all $u \in L^{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$. The corresponding Sobolev space $W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) = \{ u \in L^{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) : |\nabla u| \in L^{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,\mathcal{H}} = \|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

where $\|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{H}}$.

By $W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ we denote the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ and thanks to (2.1) we have an equivalent norm on $W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ given by

$$\|u\|_{1,\mathcal{H},0} = \|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

see Colasuonno-Squassina [8, Proposition 2.18]. Both spaces $W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ are uniformly convex and so, reflexive Banach spaces. In addition it is known that the embedding

$$W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega) \quad (2.3)$$

is compact whenever $r < p^*$, see Colasuonno-Squassina [8, Proposition 2.15], with p^* being the critical exponent to p given by

$$p^* := \frac{Np}{N-p}, \quad (2.4)$$

recall that $1 < p < N$. From (2.2) we directly obtain that

$$\min \left\{ \|u\|_{1,\mathcal{H},0}^p, \|u\|_{1,\mathcal{H},0}^q \right\} \leq \|u\|_p^p + \|u\|_{q,\mu}^q \leq \max \left\{ \|u\|_{1,\mathcal{H},0}^p, \|u\|_{1,\mathcal{H},0}^q \right\} \quad (2.5)$$

for all $u \in W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$.

Consider the eigenvalue problem for the r -Laplacian with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition and $1 < r < \infty$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_r u &= \lambda |u|^{r-2} u && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

It is known that the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1,r}$ of (2.6) is positive, simple, and isolated. Moreover, it can be variationally characterized through

$$\lambda_{1,r} = \inf_{u \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^r dx : \int_{\Omega} |u|^r dx = 1 \right\}, \quad (2.7)$$

see Lê [18]. Let us recall some definitions which we will use later.

Definition 2.1. *Let X be a reflexive Banach space, X^* its dual space and denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ its duality pairing. Let $A: X \rightarrow X^*$, then A is called*

- (a) *to satisfy the (S_+) -property if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Au_n, u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$ imply $u_n \rightarrow u$ in X ;*
- (b) *pseudomonotone if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle A(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$ imply $Au_n \rightarrow u$ and $\langle Au_n, u_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle Au, u \rangle$.*

Our existence result is based on the following surjectivity result for pseudomonotone operators, see, e.g. Carl-Le-Motreanu [7, Theorem 2.99].

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a real, reflexive Banach space, and let $A: X \rightarrow X^*$ be a pseudomonotone, bounded, and coercive operator, and $b \in X^*$. Then a solution of the equation $Au = b$ exists.*

Let $A: W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)^*$ be the operator defined by

$$\langle A(u), \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} := \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u + \mu(x) |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi dx, \quad (2.8)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the duality pairing between $W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ and its dual space $W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)^*$. The properties of the operator $A: W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)^*$ are summarized in the following proposition, see Liu-Dai [19].

Proposition 2.3. *The operator A defined by (2.8) is bounded, continuous, monotone (hence maximal monotone) and of type (S_+) .*

A special case of the operator A defined by (2.8) occurs when $\mu \equiv 0$. This leads to the operator $A_p: W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)^*$ defined by

$$\langle A_p(u), \varphi \rangle_p := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$ is the duality pairing between $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and its dual space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)^*$. This operator is the well-known p -Laplace differential operator.

Another special case happens when $\mu \equiv 1$, that is, $A_{q,p}: W_0^{1,q}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)^*$ defined by

$$\langle A_{q,p}(u), \varphi \rangle_{qp} := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx, \quad (2.9)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{qp}$ stands for the duality pairing between $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ and its dual space $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)^*$, is the so-called (q,p) -Laplace differential operator.

3. MAIN RESULTS

We assume the following hypotheses on the right-hand side nonlinearity f .

(H) $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function such that

(i) There exists $\alpha \in L^{\frac{q_1}{q_1-1}}(\Omega)$ and $a_1, a_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$|f(x, s, \xi)| \leq a_1 |\xi|^{\frac{q_1-1}{q_1}} + a_2 |s|^{q_1-1} + \alpha(x) \quad (3.1)$$

for a. a. $x \in \Omega$, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where $1 < q_1 < p^*$ with the critical exponent p^* given in (2.4).

(ii) There exists $\omega \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $b_1, b_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$f(x, s, \xi)s \leq b_1 |\xi|^p + b_2 |s|^p + \omega(x) \quad (3.2)$$

for a. a. $x \in \Omega$, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Moreover,

$$b_1 + b_2 \lambda_{1,p}^{-1} < 1, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\lambda_{1,p}$ is the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem for the p -Laplacian.

Example 3.1. *The following function satisfies hypotheses (H), where for simplicity we drop the x -dependence,*

$$f(s, \xi) = -d_1 |s|^{q_1-2} s + d_2 |\xi|^{p-1} \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

with $1 < q_1 < p^*$, $d_1 \geq 0$ and

$$0 \leq d_2 < \frac{p}{p-1 + \lambda_{1,p}^{-1}}.$$

We say that $u \in W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of problem (1.1) if it satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u + \mu(x) |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) \varphi \, dx \quad (3.4)$$

for all test functions $\varphi \in W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$. Because of the embedding (2.3) and the fact that $p < q$ along with (2.5) we easily see that a weak solution in (3.4) is well-defined.

Our main existence result reads as follows.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $1 < p < q < N$ and let hypotheses (2.1) and (H) be satisfied. Then problem (1.1) admits at least one weak solution $u \in W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Let $\hat{N}_f: W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \subseteq L^{q_1}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{q'_1}(\Omega)$ be the Nemytskij operator associated to f and let $i^*: L^{q'_1}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)^*$ be the adjoint operator of the embedding $i: W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{q_1}(\Omega)$. For $u \in W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ we define $N_f := i^* \circ \hat{N}_f$ and set

$$\mathcal{A}(u) = A(u) - N_f(u). \quad (3.5)$$

From the growth condition on f , see (3.1), we easily know that $\mathcal{A}: W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)^*$ maps bounded sets into bounded sets. Let us now prove that \mathcal{A} is pseudomonotone, see Definition 2.1(b). To this end, let $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ be a sequence such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{in } W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{A}(u_n), u_n - u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 0. \quad (3.6)$$

From the compact embedding in (2.3) we obtain that

$$u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^{q_1}(\Omega) \quad (3.7)$$

since $q_1 < p^*$. Using the strong convergence in $L^{q_1}(\Omega)$, see (3.7), along with Hölder's inequality and the growth condition on f we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)(u_n - u) dx = 0.$$

Therefore, we can pass to the limit in the weak formulation in (3.4) replacing u by u_n and φ by $u_n - u$. This gives

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle A(u_n), u_n - u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{A}(u_n), u_n - u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 0. \quad (3.8)$$

From Proposition 2.3 we know that A fulfills the (S_+) -property and so we conclude, in view of (3.6) and (3.8), that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$. Hence, because of the continuity of \mathcal{A} , we have that $\mathcal{A}(u_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(u)$ in $W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)^*$ which proves that \mathcal{A} is pseudomonotone.

Next we show that the operator \mathcal{A} is coercive, that is,

$$\lim_{\|u\|_{1,\mathcal{H},0} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \mathcal{A}u, u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}}{\|u\|_{1,\mathcal{H},0}} = +\infty. \quad (3.9)$$

From the representation of the first eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian, see (2.7), replacing r by p , we have the inequality

$$\|u\|_p^p \leq \lambda_{1,p}^{-1} \|\nabla u\|_p^p \quad \text{for all } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega). \quad (3.10)$$

Since $W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \subseteq W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and by applying (3.10), (3.2) and (2.2) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{A}(u), u \rangle \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u + \mu(x) |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) u dx \\ &\geq \|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|u\|_{q,\mu}^q - b_1 \|\nabla u\|_p^p - b_2 \|u\|_p^p - \|\omega\|_1 \\ &\geq (1 - b_1 - b_2 \lambda_{1,p}^{-1}) \|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|u\|_{q,\mu}^q - \|\omega\|_1 \\ &\geq (1 - b_1 - b_2 \lambda_{1,p}^{-1}) (\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|u\|_{q,\mu}^q) - \|\omega\|_1 \\ &\geq (1 - b_1 - b_2 \lambda_{1,p}^{-1}) \min \left\{ \|u\|_{1,\mathcal{H},0}^p, \|u\|_{1,\mathcal{H},0}^q \right\} - \|\omega\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $1 < p < q$ and (3.3), it follows (3.9) and thus, the operator $\mathcal{A}: W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)^*$ is coercive.

Hence, the operator $\mathcal{A}: W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)^*$ is bounded, pseudomonotone and coercive. Then Theorem 2.2 provides $u \in W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ such that $\mathcal{A}(u) = 0$. By the definition of \mathcal{A} , see (3.5), the function u turns out to be a weak solution of problem (1.1) which completes the proof. \square

Let us now give sufficient conditions on the perturbation such that problem (1.1) has a unique weak solution. To this end, we need the following stronger conditions on the convection term $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

(U1) There exists $c_1 \geq 0$ such that

$$(f(x, s, \xi) - f(x, t, \xi))(s - t) \leq c_1 |s - t|^2$$

for a. a. $x \in \Omega$, for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

(U2) There exists $\rho \in L^{r'}(\Omega)$ with $1 < r' < p^*$ and $c_2 \geq 0$ such that $\xi \mapsto f(x, s, \xi) - \rho(x)$ is linear for a. a. $x \in \Omega$, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$|f(x, s, \xi) - \rho(x)| \leq c_2 |\xi|$$

for a. a. $x \in \Omega$, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Moreover,

$$c_1 \lambda_{1,2}^{-1} + c_2 \lambda_{1,2}^{-\frac{1}{2}} < 1, \quad (3.11)$$

where $\lambda_{1,2}$ is the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem for the Laplace differential operator.

Example 3.3. *The following function satisfies hypotheses (H), (U1) and (U2), where for simplicity we drop the s -dependence,*

$$f(x, \xi) = \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i \xi_i + \rho(x) \quad \text{for a. a. } x \in \Omega \text{ and for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

with $2 = p \leq q_1 < 2^*$, $\rho \in L^2(\Omega)$ and

$$\|\beta\|_{\mathbb{R}^N}^2 < \min \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1,2}^{-1}, \lambda_{1,2} \right\}$$

where $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Our uniqueness result reads as follows.

Theorem 3.4. *Let (2.1), (H), (U1), and (U2) be satisfied and let $2 = p < q < N$. Then, problem (1.1) admits a unique weak solution.*

Proof. Let $u, v \in W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ be two weak solutions of (1.1). Taking in both weak formulations the test function $\varphi = u - v$ and subtracting these equations result in

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u - v)|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \mu(x) (|\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u - |\nabla v|^{q-2} \nabla v) \cdot \nabla(u - v) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (f(x, u, \nabla u) - f(x, v, \nabla u))(u - v) dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega} (f(x, v, \nabla u) - f(x, v, \nabla v))(u - v) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Since the second term on the left-hand side of (3.12) is nonnegative, we have the simple estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u - v)|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \mu(x) (|\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u - |\nabla v|^{q-2} \nabla v) \cdot \nabla(u - v) dx \\ & \geq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u - v)|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

The right-hand side of (3.12) can be estimated via (U1), (U2) and Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} (f(x, u, \nabla u) - f(x, v, \nabla u))(u - v) dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} (f(x, v, \nabla u) - f(x, v, \nabla v))(u - v) dx \\
& \leq c_1 \|u - v\|_2^2 + \int_{\Omega} \left(f \left(x, v, \nabla \left(\frac{1}{2}(u - v)^2 \right) \right) - \rho(x) \right) dx \quad (3.14) \\
& \leq c_1 \|u - v\|_2^2 + c_2 \int_{\Omega} |u - v| |\nabla(u - v)| dx \\
& \leq \left(c_1 \lambda_{1,2}^{-1} + c_2 \lambda_{1,2}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \|\nabla(u - v)\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) gives

$$\|\nabla(u - v)\|_2^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u - v)|^2 dx \leq \left(c_1 \lambda_{1,2}^{-1} + c_2 \lambda_{1,2}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \|\nabla(u - v)\|_2^2. \quad (3.15)$$

Then, by (3.11) and (3.15), we get that $u = v$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The second author thanks the Pedagogical University of Cracow for the kind hospitality during a research stay in April/May 2019.

The first author was supported by the National Science Center of Poland under Project No. 2015/19/B/ST1/01169, and the H2020-MSCA-RISE-2018 Research and Innovation Staff Exchange Scheme Fellowship within the Project no. 823731CONMECH.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Averna, D. Motreanu, E. Tornatore, *Existence and asymptotic properties for quasilinear elliptic equations with gradient dependence*, Appl. Math. Lett. **61** (2016), 102–107.
- [2] P. Baroni, M. Colombo, G. Mingione, *Harnack inequalities for double phase functionals*, Nonlinear Anal. **121** (2015), 206–222.
- [3] P. Baroni, M. Colombo, G. Mingione, *Non-autonomous functionals, borderline cases and related function classes*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **27** (2016), 347–379.
- [4] P. Baroni, T. Kuusi, G. Mingione, *Borderline gradient continuity of minima*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **15** (2014), no. 2, 537–575.
- [5] P. Baroni, M. Colombo, G. Mingione, *Regularity for general functionals with double phase*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **57** (2018), no. 2, Art. 62, 48 pp.
- [6] Y. Bai, L. Gasiński, N. S. Papageorgiou, *Nonlinear nonhomogeneous Robin problems with dependence on the gradient*, Bound. Value Probl. **2018** (2018), Art. 17, 24 pp.
- [7] S. Carl, V. K. Le, D. Motreanu, “Nonsmooth Variational Problems and Their Inequalities”, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [8] F. Colasuonno, M. Squassina, *Eigenvalues for double phase variational integrals*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **195** (2016), no. 6, 1917–1959.
- [9] M. Colombo, G. Mingione, *Bounded minimisers of double phase variational integrals*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **218** (2015), no. 1, 219–273.
- [10] M. Colombo, G. Mingione, *Regularity for double phase variational problems*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **215** (2015), no. 2, 443–496.
- [11] G. Cupini, P. Marcellini, E. Mascolo, *Local boundedness of minimizers with limit growth conditions*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **166** (2015), no. 1, 1–22.

- [12] D. De Figueiredo, M. Girardi, M. Matzeu, *Semilinear elliptic equations with dependence on the gradient via mountain-pass techniques*, Differential Integral Equations **17** (2004), no. 1-2, 119–126.
- [13] L. Dupaigne, M. Ghergu, V. D. Rădulescu, *Lane-Emden-Fowler equations with convection and singular potential*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **89** (2007), no. 6, 563–581.
- [14] F. Faraci, D. Motreanu, D. Puglisi, *Positive solutions of quasi-linear elliptic equations with dependence on the gradient*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **54** (2015), no. 1, 525–538.
- [15] L. F. O. Faria, O. H. Miyagaki, D. Motreanu, *Comparison and positive solutions for problems with the (p, q) -Laplacian and a convection term*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **57** (2014), no. 3, 687–698.
- [16] L. F. O. Faria, O. H. Miyagaki, D. Motreanu, M. Tanaka, *Existence results for nonlinear elliptic equations with Leray-Lions operator and dependence on the gradient*, Nonlinear Anal. **96** (2014), 154–166.
- [17] L. Gasiński, N. S. Papageorgiou, *Positive solutions for nonlinear elliptic problems with dependence on the gradient*, J. Differential Equations **263** (2017) 1451–1476.
- [18] A. Lê, *Eigenvalue problems for the p -Laplacian*, Nonlinear Anal. **64** (2006), no. 5, 1057–1099.
- [19] W. Liu, G. Dai, *Existence and multiplicity results for double phase problem*, J. Differential Equations **265** (2018), no. 9, 4311–4334.
- [20] S. A. Marano, P. Winkert, *On a quasilinear elliptic problem with convection term and nonlinear boundary condition*, Nonlinear Anal. **187** (2019), 159–169.
- [21] P. Marcellini, *The stored-energy for some discontinuous deformations in nonlinear elasticity*, in “Partial differential equations and the calculus of variations, Vol. II”, vol. 2, 767–786, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, 1989.
- [22] P. Marcellini, *Regularity and existence of solutions of elliptic equations with p, q -growth conditions*, J. Differential Equations **90** (1991), no. 1, 1–30.
- [23] D. Motreanu, V. V. Motreanu, A. Moussaoui, *Location of nodal solutions for quasilinear elliptic equations with gradient dependence*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S **11** (2018), no. 2, 293–307.
- [24] D. Motreanu, M. Tanaka, *Existence of positive solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations with convection terms*, Nonlinear Anal. **152** (2017), 38–60.
- [25] D. Motreanu, E. Tornatore, *Location of solutions for quasi-linear elliptic equations with general gradient dependence*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. **2017**, no. 10, 1–10.
- [26] D. Motreanu, P. Winkert, *Existence and asymptotic properties for quasilinear elliptic equations with gradient dependence*, Appl. Math. Lett. **95** (2019), 78–84.
- [27] K. Perera, M. Squassina, *Existence results for double-phase problems via Morse theory*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **20** (2018), no. 2, 1750023, 14 pp.
- [28] D. Ruiz, *A priori estimates and existence of positive solutions for strongly nonlinear problems*, J. Differential Equations **199** (2004), no. 1, 96–114.
- [29] M. Tanaka, *Existence of a positive solution for quasilinear elliptic equations with nonlinearity including the gradient*, Bound. Value Probl. (2013), no. 173, 1–11.
- [30] V. V. Zhikov, *Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **50** (1986), no. 4, 675–710.
- [31] V. V. Zhikov, *On Lavrentiev’s phenomenon*, Russian J. Math. Phys. **3** (1995), no. 2, 249–269.
- [32] V. V. Zhikov, *On some variational problems*, Russian J. Math. Phys. **5** (1997), no. 1, 105–116.
- [33] V. V. Zhikov, S. M. Kozlov, O. A. Oleĭnik, “Homogenization of Differential Operators and Integral Functionals, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

(L. Gasiński) PEDAGOGICAL UNIVERSITY OF CRACOW, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PODCHORAZYCH 2, 30-084 CRACOW, POLAND

E-mail address: leszek.gasinski@up.krakow.pl

(P. Winkert) TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, STRASSE DES 17. JUNI 136, 10623 BERLIN, GERMANY

E-mail address: winkert@math.tu-berlin.de