

EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS WITH DOUBLE PHASE OPERATORS AND CONVECTION TERMS

GRETA MARINO AND PATRICK WINKERT

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study quasilinear elliptic systems driven by so-called double phase operators and nonlinear right-hand sides depending on the gradients of the solutions. Based on the surjectivity result for pseudomonotone operators we prove the existence of at least one weak solution of such systems. Furthermore, under some additional conditions on the data, the uniqueness of weak solutions is shown.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, given a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, with a Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$, we are concerned with the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the following elliptic system

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p_1-2}\nabla u + \mu_1(x)|\nabla u|^{q_1-2}\nabla u) &= f_1(x, u, v, \nabla u, \nabla v) && \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{p_2-2}\nabla v + \mu_2(x)|\nabla v|^{q_2-2}\nabla v) &= f_2(x, u, v, \nabla u, \nabla v) && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 &&& \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $1 < p_i < q_i < N$, $\mu_i: \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are Lipschitz continuous and $f_i: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Carathéodory functions, $i = 1, 2$, that satisfy suitable structure conditions, see hypotheses (H) in Section 3.

Here, the operator is the so-called double-phase operator, that is

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u + \mu(x)|\nabla u|^{q-2}\nabla u) \quad \text{for } u \in W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega),$$

where $1 < p < q < N$ and with a suitable Sobolev Musielak-Orlicz space $W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$, see its definition in Section 2. Such an operator is the extension of the so-called weighted (q, p) -Laplacian when $\inf_{\overline{\Omega}} \mu > 0$ and of the p -Laplace differential operator when $\mu \equiv 0$.

The novelty of this work is an existence and uniqueness result for problems of the form (1.1) by using the surjectivity result for pseudomonotone operators, see Definition 2.2 and Theorem 2.3. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work dealing with a double phase operator and a convection term (that is, the right-hand side depends on the gradient of the solution) in the context of elliptic systems.

Zhikov [39] was the first who studied so-called double phase operators in order to describe models of strongly anisotropic materials by studying the functional

$$u \mapsto \int (|\nabla u|^p + \mu(x)|\nabla u|^q) \, dx, \tag{1.2}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J15, 35J62, 35J92, 35P30.

Key words and phrases. Double phase problems, convection term, pseudomonotone operators, existence results, uniqueness, elliptic systems.

where $1 < p < q < N$, see also Zhikov [40], [41] and the monograph of Zhikov-Kozlov-Oleinik [42]. Functionals of the expression (1.2) have been studied by several authors with respect to regularity results and nonstandard growth, see for example, Baroni-Colombo-Mingione [4], [5], [6], Baroni-Kuusi-Mingione [7], Cupini-Marcellini-Mascolo [16], Colombo-Mingione [14], [15], Marcellini [26], [25] and the references therein.

The motivation of this work was on the one hand the work of Gasiński-Winkert [20] who proved existence and uniqueness for the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u + \mu(x)|\nabla u|^{q-2}\nabla u) &= f(x, u, \nabla u) && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

following the paper of Averna-Motreanu-Tornatore [1]. On the other side, we were also motivated by the paper of Motreanu-Vetro-Vetro [28] who treated elliptic systems for (p_i, q_i) -Laplace operators of the form

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_{p_1} u_1 - \mu_1 \Delta_{q_1} u_1 &= f_1(x, u_1, u_2, \nabla u_1, \nabla u_2) && \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_{p_2} u_2 - \mu_2 \Delta_{q_2} u_2 &= f_2(x, u_1, u_2, \nabla u_1, \nabla u_2) && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_1 = u_2 &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

The idea in the current paper is to combine both problems (1.3) and (1.4) which gives our model problem (1.1). Such new class of problems brings lots of difficulties to be overcome like the Orlicz space in order to deal with the double phase operator, the gradient dependence of the right-hand side which implies that we cannot use variational tools and the fact that we treat this for elliptic systems. Our results extend those in Gasiński-Winkert [20] and Motreanu-Vetro-Vetro [28].

In the case of single-valued equations like (1.3) without convection we refer to the works of Colasuonno-Squassina [13], Gasiński-Papageorgiou [18], Gasiński-Winkert [19], Liu-Dai [22], Perera-Squassina [35] concerning existence and multiplicity results.

Elliptic systems with the shape as in (1.4) have been considered by a number of authors. Existence results can be found, for examples, in Boccardo-de Figueiredo [8], Carl-Motreanu [10], [11], Drábek-Stavarakakis-Zographopoulos [17], Motreanu-Vetro-Vetro [29] and the references therein.

Works which are closely related to our paper dealing with certain types of double phase problems, convection terms or elliptic systems can be found in Bahrouni-Rădulescu-Repovš [2], Bahrouni-Rădulescu-Winkert [3], Cencelj-Rădulescu-Repovš [12], Marano-Marino-Moussaoui [23], Marano-Winkert [24], Marino-Winkert [27], Motreanu-Winkert [30], Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Repovš [31], [32], [33], Rădulescu [36], Zhang-Rădulescu [37], Zheng-Gasiński-Winkert-Bai [38] and the references therein.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the definition of the Musielak-Orlicz spaces $L^{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ and its corresponding Sobolev spaces $W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ and we recall the surjectivity result for pseudomonotone operators. In Section 3 we present the full assumptions on the data of problem (1.1), give the definition of the weak solution and state and prove our main existence result, see Theorem 3.1. In the last part, namely Section 4, we state some conditions on f_i , $i = 1, 2$, in order to prove the uniqueness of weak solutions of (1.1), see Theorem 4.1.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For every $1 \leq r < \infty$ we consider the usual Lebesgue spaces $L^r(\Omega)$ and $L^r(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_r$. When $1 < r < \infty$ we denote by $W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)$ the corresponding Sobolev spaces equipped with the norms $\|\cdot\|_{1,r}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1,r,0}$, respectively. By r' , we denote the conjugate of $r \in (1, \infty)$, that is, $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$.

For $i = 1, 2$ we define functions $\mathcal{H}_i: \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\mathcal{H}_i(x, t) = t^{p_i} + \mu_i(x)t^{q_i},$$

where $1 < p_i < q_i < N$ and

$$\frac{q_i}{p_i} < 1 + \frac{1}{N}, \quad \mu_i: \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ is Lipschitz continuous.} \quad (2.1)$$

Remark 2.1. *From the condition above we easily see that*

$$q_i < p_i^*, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where p_i^* is the critical Sobolev exponent of p_i given by

$$p_i^* := \frac{Np_i}{N - p_i}.$$

Indeed, for fixed $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we have to show that $q_i < \frac{Np_i}{N - p_i}$, that is $Nq_i - p_iq_i < Np_i$. From condition (2.1) we have $Nq_i - p_i < Np_i$. Moreover, since $q_i > 1$, we have

$$Nq_i - p_iq_i < Nq_i - p_i < Np_i,$$

which shows the assertion.

Let $\rho_{\mathcal{H}_i}(u) := \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{H}_i(x, |u|) dx$. Then the Musielak-Orlicz space $L^{\mathcal{H}_i}(\Omega)$ is given by

$$L^{\mathcal{H}_i}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \mid u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable and } \rho_{\mathcal{H}_i}(u) < +\infty \right\}$$

equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_i} := \inf \left\{ \tau > 0 : \rho_{\mathcal{H}_i} \left(\frac{u}{\tau} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Then $L^{\mathcal{H}_i}(\Omega)$ becomes uniformly convex, and so a reflexive Banach space. Moreover we define the space

$$L_{\mu_i}^{q_i}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \mid u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable and } \int_{\Omega} \mu_i(x) |u|^{q_i} dx < +\infty \right\}$$

endowed with the seminorm

$$\|u\|_{q_i, \mu_i} := \left(\int_{\Omega} \mu_i(x) |u|^{q_i} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_i}}.$$

From Colasuonno-Squassina [13, Proposition 2.15] we have the following continuous embeddings

$$L^{q_i}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\mathcal{H}_i}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p_i}(\Omega) \cap L_{\mu_i}^{q_i}(\Omega).$$

For $u \neq 0$ we have $\rho_{\mathcal{H}_i} \left(\frac{u}{\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}} \right) = 1$, so it is easy to see that

$$\min \{ \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^{p_i}, \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^{q_i} \} \leq \|u\|_{p_i}^{p_i} + \|u\|_{q_i, \mu_i}^{q_i} \leq \max \{ \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^{p_i}, \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^{q_i} \} \quad (2.2)$$

for every $u \in L^{\mathcal{H}_i}(\Omega)$. Then we can introduce the corresponding Sobolev space $W^{1, \mathcal{H}_i}(\Omega)$ defined by

$$W^{1, \mathcal{H}_i}(\Omega) := \{ u \in L^{\mathcal{H}_i}(\Omega) : |\nabla u| \in L^{\mathcal{H}_i}(\Omega) \}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{1, \mathcal{H}_i} := \|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{H}_i} + \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_i},$$

where $\|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{H}_i} = \|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}$.

Moreover, we write $W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_i}(\Omega) := \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{\|\cdot\|_{1, \mathcal{H}_i}}$ being the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W^{1, \mathcal{H}_i}(\Omega)$. Taking (2.1) into account we can refer to Colasuonno-Squassina [13, Proposition 2.18] in order to consider an equivalent norm on $W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_i}(\Omega)$ given by

$$\|u\|_{1, \mathcal{H}_i, 0} = \|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}.$$

Note that $W^{1, \mathcal{H}_i}(\Omega)$ as well as $W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_i}(\Omega)$ are uniformly convex, and so reflexive Banach spaces.

Since $1 < p_i < N$, we know that the embedding

$$W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_i}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r_i}(\Omega) \quad (2.3)$$

is compact whenever $r_i < p_i^*$, see Colasuonno-Squassina [13, Proposition 2.15].

From equation (2.2) we directly obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \min \left\{ \|u\|_{1, \mathcal{H}_i, 0}^{p_i}, \|u\|_{1, \mathcal{H}_i, 0}^{q_i} \right\} &\leq \|\nabla u\|_{p_i}^{p_i} + \|\nabla u\|_{q_i, \mu_i}^{q_i} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \|u\|_{1, \mathcal{H}_i, 0}^{p_i}, \|u\|_{1, \mathcal{H}_i, 0}^{q_i} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

for every $u \in W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_i}(\Omega)$.

For $1 < r < \infty$ we consider now the eigenvalue problem for the r -Laplacian with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition given by

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_r u &= \lambda |u|^{r-2} u && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Let us denote by $\lambda_{1,r}$ the first eigenvalue of (2.5). It is well known that $\lambda_{1,r}$ is positive, simple, and isolated, see L e [21]. Moreover, we have the following variational characterization

$$\lambda_{1,r} = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^r dx : \int_{\Omega} |u|^r dx = 1 \right\}. \quad (2.6)$$

We now recall some definitions that we will use in the sequel.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a reflexive Banach space, X^* its dual space and denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ its duality pairing. Let $A: X \rightarrow X^*$, then A is called

- (a) to satisfy the (S_+) -property if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Au_n, u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$ imply $u_n \rightarrow u$ in X ;
- (b) pseudomonotone if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Au_n, u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$ imply $Au_n \rightharpoonup u$ and $\langle Au_n, u_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle Au, u \rangle$;

(c) *coercive if*

$$\lim_{\|u\|_X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle Au, u \rangle}{\|u\|_X} = \infty. \quad (2.7)$$

Our existence result is based on the following surjectivity result for pseudomonotone operators, see, e. g., Carl-Le-Motreanu [9, Theorem 2.99] or Papageorgiou-Winkert [34, Theorem 6.1.57].

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be a real, reflexive Banach space, let $A: X \rightarrow X^*$ be a pseudomonotone, bounded, and coercive operator, and $b \in X^*$. Then, a solution of the equation $Au = b$ exists.*

We consider the space $\mathcal{W} := W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega)$ endowed with the norm

$$\|(u, v)\|_{\mathcal{W}} := \|u\|_{1, \mathcal{H}_1, 0} + \|v\|_{1, \mathcal{H}_2, 0},$$

for every $(u, v) \in W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega)$.

Then we consider the operator $A: W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega) \rightarrow (W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega))^* \times (W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega))^*$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A(u, v), (\varphi, \psi) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2} &:= \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p_1-2} \nabla u + \mu_1(x) |\nabla u|^{q_1-2} \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v|^{p_2-2} \nabla v + \mu_2(x) |\nabla v|^{q_2-2} \nabla v) \cdot \nabla \psi dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2}$ is the duality pairing between $W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega)$ and its dual space $(W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega))^* \times (W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega))^*$. The next result summarizes the properties of the operator A .

Lemma 2.4. *Let $A: W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega) \rightarrow (W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega))^* \times (W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega))^*$ be the operator defined by (2.8). Then, A is bounded, continuous, monotone (hence maximal monotone), and of type (S_+) .*

Proof. The proof is similar to the one in Liu-Dai [22, Proposition 3.1]. \square

3. MAIN RESULT

We assume the following hypotheses on the nonlinearities f_1, f_2 .

(H) $f_1, f_2: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Carathéodory functions such that

(i) There exist $\alpha_i \in L^{\frac{r_i}{r_i-1}}(\Omega)$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1(x, s, t, \xi, \zeta)| &\leq A_1 |s|^{a_1} + A_2 |t|^{a_2} + A_3 |s|^{a_3} |t|^{a_4} + A_4 |\xi|^{a_5} \\ &+ A_5 |\zeta|^{a_6} + A_6 |\xi|^{a_7} |\zeta|^{a_8} + |\alpha_1(x)|, \\ |f_2(x, s, t, \xi, \zeta)| &\leq B_1 |s|^{b_1} + B_2 |t|^{b_2} + B_3 |s|^{b_3} |t|^{b_4} + B_4 |\xi|^{b_5} \\ &+ B_5 |\zeta|^{b_6} + B_6 |\xi|^{b_7} |\zeta|^{b_8} + |\alpha_2(x)|, \end{aligned}$$

for a. a. $x \in \Omega$, for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where A_j, B_j , $j = 1, \dots, 6$, are nonnegative constants and with $1 < r_i < p_i^*$, $i = 1, 2$. Moreover, the exponents a_ℓ, b_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, 8$, are nonnegative and

satisfy the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(E1)} \quad a_1 &\leq r_1 - 1, & \text{(E2)} \quad a_2 &\leq \frac{r_1 - 1}{r_1} r_2, \\
\text{(E3)} \quad \frac{a_3}{r_1} + \frac{a_4}{r_2} &\leq \frac{r_1 - 1}{r_1}, & \text{(E4)} \quad a_5 &\leq \frac{r_1 - 1}{r_1} p_1, \\
\text{(E5)} \quad a_6 &\leq \frac{r_1 - 1}{r_1} p_2, & \text{(E6)} \quad \frac{a_7}{p_1} + \frac{a_8}{p_2} &\leq \frac{r_1 - 1}{r_1}, \\
\text{(E7)} \quad b_1 &\leq \frac{r_2 - 1}{r_2} r_1, & \text{(E8)} \quad b_2 &\leq r_2 - 1, \\
\text{(E9)} \quad \frac{b_3}{r_1} + \frac{b_4}{r_2} &\leq \frac{r_2 - 1}{r_2}, & \text{(E10)} \quad b_5 &\leq \frac{r_2 - 1}{r_2} p_1, \\
\text{(E11)} \quad b_6 &\leq \frac{r_2 - 1}{r_2} p_2, & \text{(E12)} \quad \frac{b_7}{p_1} + \frac{b_8}{p_2} &\leq \frac{r_2 - 1}{r_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) There exist $\omega \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $\Lambda, \Gamma \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_1(x, s, t, \xi, \zeta)s + f_2(x, s, t, \xi, \zeta)t \\
&\leq \Lambda (|\xi|^{p_1} + |\zeta|^{p_2}) + \Gamma (|s|^{p_1} + |t|^{p_2}) + \omega(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for a. a. $x \in \Omega$, for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and with

$$\Lambda + \Gamma \max \{ \lambda_{1,p_1}^{-1}, \lambda_{1,p_2}^{-1} \} < 1, \tag{3.2}$$

where λ_{1,p_i} is the first eigenvalue of the p_i -Laplacian, see (2.5).

We say that $(u, v) \in W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of problem (1.1) if

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p_1-2} \nabla u + \mu_1(x) |\nabla u|^{q_1-2} \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} f_1(x, u, v, \nabla u, \nabla v) \varphi \, dx, \\
\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v|^{p_2-2} \nabla v + \mu_2(x) |\nabla v|^{q_2-2} \nabla v) \cdot \nabla \psi \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} f_2(x, u, v, \nabla u, \nabla v) \psi \, dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

is satisfied for all test functions $(\varphi, \psi) \in W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega)$. Taking the embedding (2.3) into account, along with the growth conditions in (H)(i), we see that the definition of a weak solution is well-defined. Indeed, if we estimate the integral concerning the function $f_1: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by using condition (H)(i) we obtain several mixed terms. Let us consider, for example, the third term on the right-hand side of the growth of f_1 . Applying Hölder's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_3 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{a_3} |v|^{a_4} \varphi \, dx \\
&\leq A_3 \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{a_3 s_1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s_1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{a_4 s_2} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s_2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^{s_3} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s_3}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $(u, v) \in W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega)$, $\varphi \in W_0^{1,\mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega)$ and

$$\frac{1}{s_1} + \frac{1}{s_2} + \frac{1}{s_3} = 1.$$

Taking $s_3 = r_1$ with $1 < r_1 < p_1^*$ and using $s_1 \leq \frac{r_1}{a_3}$ as well as $s_2 \leq \frac{r_2}{a_4}$ leads to

$$\frac{a_3}{r_1} + \frac{a_4}{r_2} \leq \frac{r_1 - 1}{r_1},$$

which is exactly condition (E3). Note that the conditions in (H)(i) are chosen in order to prove our main results by applying the compact embedding (2.3). Of course, for the finiteness of the integrals in the weak formulation (3.3), we can also allow critical growth to have a well-defined weak formulation.

Now we are ready to formulate and prove our main result in this section.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 < p_i < q_i < N$, $i = 1, 2$, and let hypotheses (2.1) and (H) be satisfied. Then, there exists a weak solution $(u, v) \in W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega)$ of problem (1.1).*

Proof. Let

$$\hat{N}_{f_i} : W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega) \subset L^{r_1}(\Omega) \times L^{r_2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{r'_1}(\Omega) \times L^{r'_2}(\Omega)$$

be the Nemytskij operator associated to f_i . Moreover, let

$$j_i^* : L^{r'_1}(\Omega) \times L^{r'_2}(\Omega) \rightarrow (W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega))^* \times (W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega))^*$$

be the adjoint operator for the embedding

$$j_i : W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{r_1}(\Omega) \times L^{r_2}(\Omega).$$

We then define

$$N_{f_i} := j_i^* \circ \hat{N}_{f_i} : W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega) \rightarrow (W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega))^* \times (W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega))^*,$$

which is well-defined by hypotheses (H)(i). We set

$$\mathcal{A}(u, v) := A(u, v) - N_{f_1}(u, v) - N_{f_2}(u, v). \quad (3.4)$$

Our aim is to apply Theorem 2.3. So, we need to show that \mathcal{A} is bounded, pseudomonotone and coercive.

Claim 1: \mathcal{A} is bounded.

The boundedness of \mathcal{A} follows directly from the boundedness of A and the growth conditions on f_1 and f_2 stated in (H)(i).

Claim 2: \mathcal{A} is pseudomonotone.

To this end, let $\{(u_n, v_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega)$ be a sequence such that

$$(u_n, v_n) \rightharpoonup (u, v) \quad \text{in } W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega) \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{A}(u_n, v_n), (u_n - u, v_n - v) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2} \leq 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Taking the compact embedding (2.3) into account yields

$$u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^{r_1}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad v_n \rightarrow v \quad \text{in } L^{r_2}(\Omega), \quad (3.7)$$

since $r_1 < p_1^*$ and $r_2 < p_2^*$, respectively. We want to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_1(x, u_n, v_n, \nabla u_n, \nabla v_n)(u_n - u) dx &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_2(x, u_n, v_n, \nabla u_n, \nabla v_n)(v_n - v) dx &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Let us consider the first expression in (3.8). By the growth condition (H)(i) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} f_1(x, u_n, v_n, \nabla u_n, \nabla v_n)(u_n - u) dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \left(A_1 |u_n|^{a_1} + A_2 |v_n|^{a_2} + A_3 |u_n|^{a_3} |v_n|^{a_4} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + A_4 |\nabla u_n|^{a_5} + A_5 |\nabla v_n|^{a_6} + A_6 |\nabla u_n|^{a_7} |\nabla v_n|^{a_8} + |\alpha_1(x)| \right) |u_n - u| dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, (3.7) and conditions (E1) and (E2), respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{a_1} |u_n - u| dx & \leq A_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{a_1 r'_1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r'_1}} \|u_n - u\|_{r_1} \\ & \leq C_1 (1 + \|u_n\|_{r_1}^{r_1 - 1}) \|u_n - u\|_{r_1} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{a_2} |u_n - u| dx & \leq A_2 \left(\int_{\Omega} v_n^{a_2 r'_1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r'_1}} \|u_n - u\|_{r_1} \\ & \leq C_2 \left(1 + \|v_n\|_{r_2}^{\frac{r_2}{r'_1}} \right) \|u_n - u\|_{r_1} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

for some $C_1, C_2 > 0$. Moreover, Hölder's inequality with exponents $x_1, y_1, z_1 > 1$ such that

$$x_1 a_3 \leq r_1, \quad y_1 a_4 \leq r_2, \quad z_1 = r_1, \quad \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{y_1} + \frac{1}{z_1} = 1$$

gives, by hypothesis (E3),

$$A_3 \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{a_3} |v_n|^{a_4} |u_n - u| dx \leq A_3 \|u_n\|_{a_3 x_1}^{a_3} \|v_n\|_{a_4 y_1}^{a_4} \|u_n - u\|_{r_1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Next we apply Hölder's inequality with exponents r_1, r'_1 and use (E4) and (E5) to get

$$\begin{aligned} A_4 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{a_5} |u_n - u| dx & \leq A_4 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{a_5 r'_1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r'_1}} \|u_n - u\|_{r_1} \\ & \leq C_3 \left(1 + \|\nabla u_n\|_{p_1}^{\frac{p_1}{r'_1}} \right) \|u_n - u\|_{r_1} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_5 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^{a_6} |u_n - u| dx & \leq A_5 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^{a_6 r'_1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r'_1}} \|u_n - u\|_{r_1} \\ & \leq C_4 \left(1 + \|\nabla v_n\|_{p_2}^{\frac{p_2}{r'_1}} \right) \|u_n - u\|_{r_1} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

for some $C_3, C_4 > 0$. Furthermore, condition (E6) allows us to apply Hölder's inequality with exponents $x_2, y_2, z_2 > 1$ such that

$$x_2 a_7 \leq p_1, \quad y_2 a_8 \leq p_2, \quad z_2 = r_1, \quad \frac{1}{x_2} + \frac{1}{y_2} + \frac{1}{z_2} = 1$$

in order to have

$$A_6 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{a_7} |\nabla v_n|^{a_8} (u_n - u) dx \leq A_6 \|\nabla u_n\|_{a_7 x_2}^{a_7} \|\nabla v_n\|_{a_8 y_2}^{a_8} \|u_n - u\|_{r_1} \rightarrow 0,$$

since both $\|\nabla u_n\|_{a_7 x_2}$ and $\|\nabla v_n\|_{a_8 y_2}$ are bounded. Finally, for the last term in (3.9) we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\alpha_1(x)|(u_n - u) dx \leq \|\alpha_1\|_{r_1'} \|u_n - u\|_{r_1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Combining all the calculations above give

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_1(x, u_n, v_n, \nabla u_n, \nabla v_n) (u_n - u) dx = 0.$$

Applying similar arguments proves that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_2(x, u_n, v_n, \nabla u_n, \nabla v_n) (v_n - v) dx = 0.$$

Hence, (3.8) is fulfilled. We now take the weak formulation (3.3), replace u by u_n , v by v_n , φ by $u_n - u$ and ψ by $v_n - v$ and use (3.6) as well as (3.8) in order to have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{A}(u_n, v_n), (u_n - u, v_n - v) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2} \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{A}(u_n, v_n), (u_n - u, v_n - v) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2} \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Since \mathcal{A} satisfies the (S_+) -property, see Lemma 2.4, we derive from (3.5) and (3.10) that

$$(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v) \quad \text{in } W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega).$$

Since \mathcal{A} is continuous we have $\mathcal{A}(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(u, v)$ in $(W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega))^* \times (W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega))^*$, which proves that \mathcal{A} is pseudomonotone.

Claim 3: \mathcal{A} is coercive.

First of all, taking into account the representation (2.6) and replacing r by p_1 and p_2 , respectively, we have

$$\|u\|_{p_1}^{p_1} \leq \lambda_{1, p_1}^{-1} \|\nabla u\|_{p_1}^{p_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|v\|_{p_2}^{p_2} \leq \lambda_{1, p_2}^{-1} \|\nabla v\|_{p_2}^{p_2}, \quad (3.11)$$

for all $(u, v) \in W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega)$. Note that $W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega) \subseteq W_0^{1, p_1}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega) \subseteq W_0^{1, p_2}(\Omega)$. Applying these facts along with (3.11), (3.1), and (2.4)

leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \mathcal{A}(u, v), (u, v) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2} \\
&= \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p_1-2} \nabla u + \mu_1(x) |\nabla u|^{q_1-2} \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \, dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v|^{p_2-2} \nabla v + \mu_2(x) |\nabla v|^{q_2-2} \nabla v) \cdot \nabla v \, dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\Omega} f_1(x, u, v, \nabla u, \nabla v) u \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f_2(x, u, v, \nabla u, \nabla v) v \, dx \\
&\geq \|\nabla u\|_{p_1}^{p_1} + \|\nabla u\|_{q_1, \mu_1}^{q_1} + \|\nabla v\|_{p_2}^{p_2} + \|\nabla v\|_{q_2, \mu_2}^{q_2} \\
&\quad - \Lambda (\|\nabla u\|_{p_1}^{p_1} + \|\nabla v\|_{p_2}^{p_2}) - \Gamma (\|u\|_{p_1}^{p_1} + \|v\|_{p_2}^{p_2}) - \|\omega\|_1 \\
&\geq (1 - \Lambda - \Gamma \lambda_{1, p_1}^{-1}) \|\nabla u\|_{p_1}^{p_1} + \|\nabla u\|_{q_1, \mu_1}^{q_1} \\
&\quad + (1 - \Lambda - \Gamma \lambda_{1, p_2}^{-1}) \|\nabla v\|_{p_2}^{p_2} + \|\nabla v\|_{q_2, \mu_2}^{q_2} - \|\omega\|_1 \\
&\geq \left(1 - \Lambda - \Gamma \max \{ \lambda_{1, p_1}^{-1}, \lambda_{1, p_2}^{-1} \}\right) \left(\min \left\{ \|u\|_{1, \mathcal{H}_1, 0}^{p_1}, \|u\|_{1, \mathcal{H}_1, 0}^{q_1} \right\} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \min \left\{ \|v\|_{1, \mathcal{H}_2, 0}^{p_2}, \|v\|_{1, \mathcal{H}_2, 0}^{q_2} \right\} \right) - \|\omega\|_{L^1(\Omega)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $1 < p_i < q_i$ and condition (3.2) holds, it follows that (2.7) is satisfied, and hence \mathcal{A} is coercive.

From the Claims 1–3 we see that \mathcal{A} is bounded, pseudomonotone and coercive. Therefore, by Theorem 2.3, there exists $(u, v) \in W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega)$ such that $\mathcal{A}(u, v) = 0$. Taking into account the definition of \mathcal{A} , see equation (3.4), it follows that (u, v) is a weak solution of problem (1.1). That finishes the proof. \square

4. A UNIQUENESS RESULT

Now we consider the uniqueness of solutions of (1.1). To this end, let $\mathbf{f}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\mathbb{R}^N)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be the vector field defined by

$$\mathbf{f}(x, s, \xi) = (f_1(x, s, \xi), f_2(x, s, \xi))$$

for a. a. $x \in \Omega$, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and for all $\xi \in (\mathbb{R}^N)^2$. We suppose the following conditions on f :

(U1) There exists $c_1 \geq 0$ such that

$$(\mathbf{f}(x, s, \xi) - \mathbf{f}(x, t, \xi)) \cdot (s - t) \leq c_1 |s - t|^2$$

for a. a. $x \in \Omega$, for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and for all $\xi \in (\mathbb{R}^N)^2$.

(U2) There exist $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2)$ with $\rho_i \in L^{s_i}(\Omega)$, $1 < s_i < p_i^*$ and $c_2 \geq 0$ such that $\mathbf{f}(x, s, \cdot) - \rho(x)$ is linear on $(\mathbb{R}^N)^2$ for a. a. $x \in \Omega$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and

$$|\mathbf{f}(x, s, \xi) - \rho(x)| \leq c_2 |\xi|$$

for a. a. $x \in \Omega$, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and for all $\xi \in (\mathbb{R}^N)^2$.

Our main result in this section reads as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (2.1), (H), (U1), and (U2) be satisfied. If $2 = p_i < q_i < N$ for $i = 1, 2$ and*

$$c_1 \lambda_{1,2}^{-1} + c_2 (2\lambda_{1,2}^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} < 1, \quad (4.1)$$

then there exists a unique weak solution of problem (1.1).

Proof. Let $u = (u_1, u_2), v = (v_1, v_2) \in W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_1}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1, \mathcal{H}_2}(\Omega)$ be two weak solutions of (1.1). Considering the weak formulation for u and v , choosing $\varphi = u_1 - v_1$ as well as $\psi = u_2 - v_2$ and subtracting the related equations gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u_1 - v_1)|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u_2 - v_2)|^2 dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \mu_1(x) (|\nabla u_1|^{q_1-2} \nabla u_1 - |\nabla v_1|^{q_1-2} \nabla v_1) \cdot \nabla(u_1 - v_1) dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \mu_2(x) (|\nabla u_2|^{q_2-2} \nabla u_2 - |\nabla v_2|^{q_2-2} \nabla v_2) \cdot \nabla(u_2 - v_2) dx \quad (4.2) \\
& = \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{f}(x, u, \nabla u) - \mathbf{f}(x, v, \nabla u)) \cdot (u - v) dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{f}(x, v, \nabla u) - \rho(x) - \mathbf{f}(x, v, \nabla v) + \rho(x)) \cdot (u - v) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By the monotonicity of $\xi \mapsto |\xi|^{q_i-2}\xi$ we see that the third and the fourth integral on the left-hand side of (4.2) are nonnegative, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u_1 - v_1)|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u_2 - v_2)|^2 dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \mu_1(x) (|\nabla u_1|^{q_1-2} \nabla u_1 - |\nabla v_1|^{q_1-2} \nabla v_1) \cdot \nabla(u_1 - v_1) dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \mu_2(x) (|\nabla u_2|^{q_2-2} \nabla u_2 - |\nabla v_2|^{q_2-2} \nabla v_2) \cdot \nabla(u_2 - v_2) dx \quad (4.3) \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u_1 - v_1)|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u_2 - v_2)|^2 dx \\
& = \|\nabla(u_1 - v_1)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla(u_2 - v_2)\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other side, by applying (U1) to the first integral on the right-hand side of (4.2) and (U2) to the second we obtain along with Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{f}(x, u, \nabla u) - \mathbf{f}(x, v, \nabla u)) \cdot (u - v) dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{f}(x, v, \nabla u) - \rho(x) - \mathbf{f}(x, v, \nabla v) + \rho(x)) \cdot (u - v) dx \\
& \leq c_1 (\|u_1 - v_1\|_2^2 + \|u_2 - v_2\|_2^2) \\
& + \int_{\Omega} (f_1(x, v_1, v_2, (u_1 - v_1)\nabla(u_1 - v_1), (u_1 - v_1)\nabla(u_2 - v_2)) - \rho_1(x)) dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} (f_2(x, v_1, v_2, (u_2 - v_2)\nabla(u_1 - v_1), (u_2 - v_2)\nabla(u_2 - v_2)) - \rho_2(x)) dx \quad (4.4) \\
& \leq c_1 \lambda_{1,2}^{-1} (\|\nabla(u_1 - v_1)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla(u_2 - v_2)\|_2^2) \\
& + c_2 \int_{\Omega} (|u_1 - v_1| + |u_2 - v_2|) (|\nabla(u_1 - v_1)|^2 + |\nabla(u_2 - v_2)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \\
& \leq (c_1 \lambda_{1,2}^{-1} + c_2 (2\lambda_{1,2}^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\nabla(u_1 - v_1)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla(u_2 - v_2)\|_2^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla(u_1 - v_1)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla(u_2 - v_2)\|_2^2 \\ & \leq \left(c_1 \lambda_{1,2}^{-1} + c_2 (2\lambda_{1,2}^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) (\|\nabla(u_1 - v_1)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla(u_2 - v_2)\|_2^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Taking (4.1) into account, we see from (4.5) that $u_1 = v_1$ and $u_2 = v_2$ and so the solution of (1.1) is unique. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Averna, D. Motreanu, E. Tornatore, *Existence and asymptotic properties for quasilinear elliptic equations with gradient dependence*, Appl. Math. Lett. **61** (2016), 102–107.
- [2] A. Bahrouni, V. D. Rădulescu, D. D. Repovš, *Double phase transonic flow problems with variable growth: nonlinear patterns and stationary waves*, Nonlinearity **32** (2019), no. 7, 2481–2495.
- [3] A. Bahrouni, V. D. Rădulescu, P. Winkert, *Double phase problems with variable growth and convection for the Baouendi-Grushin operator*, submitted 2019.
- [4] P. Baroni, M. Colombo, G. Mingione, *Harnack inequalities for double phase functionals*, Nonlinear Anal. **121** (2015), 206–222.
- [5] P. Baroni, M. Colombo, G. Mingione, *Non-autonomous functionals, borderline cases and related function classes*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **27** (2016), 347–379.
- [6] P. Baroni, M. Colombo, G. Mingione, *Regularity for general functionals with double phase*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **57** (2018), no. 2, Art. 62, 48 pp.
- [7] P. Baroni, T. Kuusi, G. Mingione, *Borderline gradient continuity of minima*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **15** (2014), no. 2, 537–575.
- [8] L. Boccardo, D. G. de Figueiredo, *Some remarks on a system of quasilinear elliptic equations*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **9** (2002), no. 3, 309–323.
- [9] S. Carl, V. K. Le, D. Motreanu, “Nonsmooth Variational Problems and Their Inequalities”, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [10] S. Carl, D. Motreanu, *Extremal solutions for nonvariational quasilinear elliptic systems via expanding trapping regions*, Monatsh. Math. **182** (2017), no. 4, 801–821.
- [11] S. Carl, D. Motreanu, *Multiple solutions for elliptic systems via trapping regions and related nonsmooth potentials*, Appl. Anal. **94** (2015), no. 8, 1594–1613.
- [12] M. Cencelj, V. D. Rădulescu, D. D. Repovš, *Double phase problems with variable growth*, Nonlinear Anal. **177** (2018), part A, 270–287.
- [13] F. Colasuonno, M. Squassina, *Eigenvalues for double phase variational integrals*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **195** (2016), no. 6, 1917–1959.
- [14] M. Colombo, G. Mingione, *Bounded minimisers of double phase variational integrals*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **218** (2015), no. 1, 219–273.
- [15] M. Colombo, G. Mingione, *Regularity for double phase variational problems*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **215** (2015), no. 2, 443–496.
- [16] G. Cupini, P. Marcellini, E. Mascolo, *Local boundedness of minimizers with limit growth conditions*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **166** (2015), no. 1, 1–22.
- [17] P. Drábek, N. M. Stavrakakis, N. B. Zographopoulos, *Multiple nonsemitrivial solutions for quasilinear elliptic systems*, Differential Integral Equations **16** (2003), no. 12, 1519–1531.
- [18] L. Gasiński, N. S. Papageorgiou, *Constant sign and nodal solutions for superlinear double phase problems*, Adv. Calc. Var., <https://doi.org/10.1515/acv-2019-0040>.
- [19] L. Gasiński, P. Winkert, *Constant sign solutions for double phase problems with superlinear nonlinearity*, Nonlinear Anal. **195** (2020), 111739.
- [20] L. Gasiński, P. Winkert, *Existence and uniqueness results for double phase problems with convection term*, J. Differential Equations **268** (2020), no. 8, 4183–4193.
- [21] A. Lê, *Eigenvalue problems for the p -Laplacian*, Nonlinear Anal. **64** (2006), no. 5, 1057–1099.
- [22] W. Liu, G. Dai, *Existence and multiplicity results for double phase problem*, J. Differential Equations **265** (2018), no. 9, 4311–4334.
- [23] S. A. Marano, G. Marino, A. Moussaoui, *Singular quasilinear elliptic systems in \mathbb{R}^N* , Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **198** (2019), no. 5, 1581–1594.

- [24] S. A. Marano, P. Winkert, *On a quasilinear elliptic problem with convection term and nonlinear boundary condition*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **187** (2019), 159–169.
- [25] P. Marcellini, *Regularity and existence of solutions of elliptic equations with p, q -growth conditions*, *J. Differential Equations* **90** (1991), no. 1, 1–30.
- [26] P. Marcellini, *The stored-energy for some discontinuous deformations in nonlinear elasticity*, in “Partial differential equations and the calculus of variations, Vol. II”, vol. 2, 767–786, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, 1989.
- [27] G. Marino, P. Winkert, *Global a priori bounds for weak solutions of quasilinear elliptic systems with nonlinear boundary condition*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **482** (2020), no. 2, 123555, 19 pp.
- [28] D. Motreanu, C. Vetro, F. Vetro, *A parametric Dirichlet problem for systems of quasilinear elliptic equations with gradient dependence*, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **37** (2016), no. 12, 1551–1561.
- [29] D. Motreanu, C. Vetro, F. Vetro, *Systems of quasilinear elliptic equations with dependence on the gradient via subsolution-supersolution method*, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S* **11** (2018), no. 2, 309–321.
- [30] D. Motreanu, P. Winkert, *Existence and asymptotic properties for quasilinear elliptic equations with gradient dependence*, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **95** (2019), 78–84.
- [31] N. S. Papageorgiou, V. D. Rădulescu, D. D. Repovš, *Double-phase problems and a discontinuity property of the spectrum*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **147** (2019), no. 7, 2899–2910.
- [32] N. S. Papageorgiou, V. D. Rădulescu, D. D. Repovš, *Double-phase problems with reaction of arbitrary growth*, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **69** (2018), no. 4, Art. 108, 21 pp.
- [33] N. S. Papageorgiou, V. D. Rădulescu, D. D. Repovš, *Ground state and nodal solutions for a class of double phase problems*, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **71** (2020), no. 1, 15 pp.
- [34] N. S. Papageorgiou, P. Winkert, “Applied Nonlinear Functional Analysis. An Introduction”, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2018.
- [35] K. Perera, M. Squassina, *Existence results for double-phase problems via Morse theory*, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **20** (2018), no. 2, 1750023, 14 pp.
- [36] V. D. Rădulescu, *Isotropic and anisotropic double-phase problems: old and new*, *Opuscula Math.* **39** (2019), no. 2, 259–279.
- [37] Q. Zhang, V. D. Rădulescu, *Double phase anisotropic variational problems and combined effects of reaction and absorption terms*, *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **118** (2018), 159–203.
- [38] S. Zeng, L. Gasiński, P. Winkert, Y. Bai, *Existence of solutions for double phase obstacle problems with multivalued convection term*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, to appear.
- [39] V. V. Zhikov, *Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory*, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **50** (1986), no. 4, 675–710.
- [40] V. V. Zhikov, *On Lavrentiev’s phenomenon*, *Russian J. Math. Phys.* **3** (1995), no. 2, 249–269.
- [41] V. V. Zhikov, *On some variational problems*, *Russian J. Math. Phys.* **5** (1997), no. 1, 105–116.
- [42] V. V. Zhikov, S. M. Kozlov, O. A. Oleinik, “Homogenization of Differential Operators and Integral Functionals”, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

(G. Marino) TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT CHEMNITZ, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, REICHENHAINER STRASSE 41, 09126 CHEMNITZ, GERMANY

Email address: greta.marino@mathematik.tu-chemnitz.de

(P. Winkert) TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, STRASSE DES 17. JUNI 136, 10623 BERLIN, GERMANY

Email address: winkert@math.tu-berlin.de