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Abstract. In this paper, we study the quasilinear elliptic problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta_{p} u & =a\left(u^{+}\right)^{p-1}-b\left(u^{-}\right)^{p-1} & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =\text { constant } & & \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
0 & =\int_{\partial \Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nu \mathrm{~d} \sigma & &
\end{aligned}
$$

where the operator is the $p$-Laplacian and the boundary condition is of type no-flux. In particular, we consider the Fučík spectrum of the $p$-Laplacian with no-flux boundary condition which is defined as the set $\Pi_{p}$ of all pairs $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that the problem above has a nontrivial solution. It turns out that this spectrum has a first nontrivial curve $\mathcal{C}$ being Lipschitz continuous, decreasing and with a certain asymptotic behavior. Since $\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ lies on this curve $\mathcal{C}$, with $\lambda_{2}$ being the second eigenvalue of the corresponding no-flux eigenvalue problem for the $p$-Laplacian, we get a variational characterization of $\lambda_{2}$. This paper extends corresponding works for Dirichlet, Neumann, Steklov and Robin problems.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in the so-called Fučík spectrum of the $p$-Laplacian with no-flux boundary condition which is defined as the set $\Pi_{p}$ of all pairs $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{p} u & =a\left(u^{+}\right)^{p-1}-b\left(u^{-}\right)^{p-1} & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =\text { constant } & & \text { on } \partial \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\
0 & =\int_{\partial \Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nu \mathrm{~d} \sigma & &
\end{align*}
$$

has a nontrivial weak solution, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$, is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, \Delta_{p} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)$ is the $p$-Laplace differential operator with $1<p<+\infty, \nu(x)$ denotes the outer unit normal of $\Omega$ at the point $x \in \partial \Omega$ and $u^{ \pm}=\max \{ \pm u, 0\}$ are the positive and negative parts of $u$, respectively. The boundary condition is of type no-flux and such problems have their origin in plasma physics. Temam [25] studied the problem of the equilibrium of a plasma in a cavity

[^0]which occurred for the first time in Mercier [20] and has the form
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{L} u & =-\lambda b u & & \text { in } \Omega_{\rho}, \\
\mathfrak{L} u & =0 & & \text { in } \Omega_{\nu}=\Omega-\bar{\Omega}_{\rho}(\text { the vacuum }), \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{\rho}=\partial \Omega_{\rho}, \\
\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} \nu} & \text { is continuous } & & \text { on } \Gamma_{\rho}, \\
u & =\text { constant }=\gamma & & \text { on } \Gamma(\gamma \text { unknown }), \\
I & =\int_{\Gamma_{\rho}} \frac{1}{x_{1}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} \nu} \mathrm{~d} \Gamma, & & \\
u & \text { does not vanish } & & \text { in } \Omega_{\rho},
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

where $I>0$ is given, $u, \lambda$ and $\Omega_{\rho}$ are the unknowns, while $\lambda$ plays the role of an eigenvalue of the self-adjoint operator $\mathfrak{L}$. The solution of (1.2) determines the shape at equilibrium of a confined plasma. A simplified model of (1.2) has been presented by the same author in [26] given by

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta u & =-\lambda u^{-} & & \text {in } \Omega \\
u & =\text { constant }=\gamma & & \text { on } \partial \Omega  \tag{1.3}\\
I & =\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} \nu} \mathrm{~d} \sigma & &
\end{align*}
$$

In (1.3) the region $u<0$ is the region filled by the plasma and the region $u>0$ corresponds to the vacuum. These regions can be found when we solve problem (1.3). The region $u=0$ corresponds to the free boundary which separates the plasma and the vacuum. For other models of type (1.3) we refer to the works of Berestycki-Brézis [3], Gourgeon-Mossino [15], Kinderlehrer-Spruck[16], Puel [23], Schaeffer [24], Zou [28, 29] and the references therein. A nice overview about noflux problems also in the case of variable exponent problems can be found in the book chapter of Boureanu [4].

In (1.1) we assume that $I=0$ and so it corresponds to nonresonant surfaces called no-flux surfaces on which the wave number of the perturbation parallel to the equilibrium magnetic field is zero, see Afrouzi-Mirzapour- Rădulescu [1]. Note that when $N=1$ and $\Omega=(a, b)$, problem (1.1) becomes the periodic boundary value problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} & =\lambda|u|^{p-2} u \quad \text { in }(a, b), \\
u(a) & =u(b) \\
u^{\prime}(a) & =u^{\prime}(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

In this paper, we are interested in the nontrivial parts of $\Pi_{p}$ and we show that there exists a first nontrivial curve $\mathcal{C} \subset \Pi_{p}$ which turns out to be Lipschitz continuous, decreasing and with a certain asymptotic behavior. With this work we close the gap in the literature where the Fučík spectrum of the $p$-Laplacian has been already studied for Dirichlet, Neumann, Steklov and Robin boundary condition, respectively.

The idea of considering the set $\Sigma$ of all pairs $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that

$$
T u=a u^{+}-b u^{-}
$$

has a nontrivial solution with $T$ being self-adjoint, goes back to Fučík [12] (see also Dancer [9]) who recognized that the set $\Sigma$ plays an important role in the study of semilinear equations of type

$$
T u=f(x, u),
$$

where $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function with jumping nonlinearities satisfying

$$
\frac{f(x, s)}{s} \rightarrow a \quad \text { as } s \rightarrow+\infty, \quad \frac{f(x, s)}{s} \rightarrow b \quad \text { as } s \rightarrow-\infty
$$

Indeed, a systematic study of this spectrum for the one-dimensional Laplacian with periodic boundary condition has been done by Fučík [13] who proved that this spectrum is composed of two families of curves in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ emanating from the points ( $\lambda_{k}, \lambda_{k}$ ) determined by the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}$. After this, several works on this spectrum have been published for the negative Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary condition on bounded domains. In particular, Dancer [9] showed that the lines $\mathbb{R} \times\left\{\lambda_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{1}\right\} \times \mathbb{R}$ are isolated in $\Sigma_{2}$, where $\Sigma_{2}$ is the Fučík spectrum of $-\Delta$ with Dirichlet condition and $\lambda_{1}>0$ is the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta$. A starting work on the Fučík spectrum of the $p$-Laplacian with Dirichlet condition has been done by Cuesta-de Figueiredo-Gossez [8] who proved the existence of a first nontrivial curve in this spectrum, see also a similar result for $-\Delta$ by de Figueiredo-Gossez [10]. These results have been transferred to Neumann, Steklov and Robin boundary conditions by Arias-Campos-Gossez [2], Martínez-Rossi [19] and Motreanu-Winkert [21], respectively. We refer to the book chapter of Motreanu-Winkert [22] concerning the differences in these works.

In our work, we are going to transfer the techniques of [2], [8], [19] and [21] to our problem (1.1) with no-flux boundary condition. One difference is that in our problem the first eigenvalue of the corresponding eigenvalue problem is zero. Indeed, if $a=b=\lambda$, problem (1.1) becomes the following no-flux eigenvalue problem for the $p$-Laplacian

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{p} u & =\lambda|u|^{p-2} u & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =\text { constant } & & \text { on } \partial \Omega  \tag{1.4}\\
0 & =\int_{\partial \Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nu \mathrm{~d} \sigma & &
\end{align*}
$$

which has been treated by Lê [17]. Since the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ in (1.4) is zero, all nonzero constants are corresponding eigenfunctions. Thus, $\lambda_{1}$ is simple. Furthermore, from Lê [17] we know that $\lambda_{1}$ is isolated, the spectrum of (1.4) is closed and each eigenfunction corresponding to an eigenvalue $\lambda>0$ changes sign in $\Omega$. The first eigenfunction can be given as $L^{p}$-normalized constant by $\varphi_{1}=\frac{1}{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p}}}$. As a consequence of our results, we obtain a variational characterization of the second eigenvalue $\lambda_{2}$ of (1.4) by

$$
\lambda_{2}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{u \in \gamma[-1,1]}\left[\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C([-1,1], S): \gamma(-1)=-\varphi_{1}, \gamma(1)=\varphi_{1}\right\} \\
& S=\left\{u \in V:\|u\|_{p}=1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
V=\left\{u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega):\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=\mathrm{constant}\right\}
$$

It turns out that the point $\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ lies on the first nontrivial curve $\mathcal{C}$ of $\Pi_{p}$, see Figure 1.


Figure 1. The curve $\mathcal{C}$
Finally, we mention some existence results for elliptic problems with no-flux boundary condition. As we already noted, there are only few works in this direction. We refer to Le-Schmitt [18] for a sub-supersolution approach involving general nonhomogeneous operators, Zhao-Zhao-Xie [27] for a mountain-pass solution, FanDeng [11] for an application on a variational principle due to Ricceri in variable exponent Sobolev spaces and Boureanu-Udrea [5, 6] for isotropic and anisotropic variable exponent problems. Other references can be found in the book chapter of Boureanu [4].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present some results on the function spaces, the $p$-Laplacian and state the weak formulation of problem (1.1). Moreover, we recall the mountain-pass theorem for manifolds. In Section 3 we describe the Fučík spectrum $\Pi_{p}$ via critical points of the corresponding functional and show the existence of a curve of elements of $\Pi_{p}$. In Section 4 we prove that this curve is indeed the first nontrivial curve in $\Pi_{p}$. As a consequence we derive a variational characterization of the second eigenvalue $\lambda_{2}$ of (1.4), see Corollary 4.4. Finally, in Section 5, we prove that this first nontrivial curve is Lipschitz continuous, decreasing and converging in the cases $p \leq N$ and $p>N$ separately, see Proposition 5.1 and Theorems 5.2 and 5.4.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some facts about the function space, the operator and tools from critical point theory. To this end, let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, $N \geq 2$, with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and let $1 \leq p<\infty$. We denote by $L^{p}(\Omega):=$ $L^{p}(\Omega ; \mathbb{R})$ and $L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ the usual Lebesgue spaces endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ while $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ stands for the Sobolev space endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1, p}$, namely,

$$
\|u\|_{1, p}:=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text { for all } u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

Let

$$
V=\left\{u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega):\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega=\text { constant }\}} .\right.
$$

Then $V$ is a closed subspace of $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and so a reflexive Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{1, p}$, see Le-Schmitt [18] or Zhao-Zhao-Xie [27, Lemma 2.1]. Note that for any $v \in V$ we have that $v^{+}, v^{-} \in V$.

A function $u \in V$ is said to be a weak solution of (1.1) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Omega} a\left(\left(u^{+}\right)^{p-1}-b\left(u^{-}\right)^{p-1}\right) v \mathrm{~d} x \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied for all $v \in V$.
For $1<p<\infty$, we consider the nonlinear operator $A: V \rightarrow V^{*}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A(u), v\rangle:=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \mathrm{~d} x \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u, v \in V$ with $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ being the duality pairing between $V$ and its dual space $V^{*}$. The properties of the operator $A: V \rightarrow V^{*}$ can be summarized as follows, see, for example, Carl-Le-Motreanu [7, Lemma 2.111].
Proposition 2.1. The operator $A$ defined by (2.2) is bounded, continuous, monotone (hence maximal monotone) and of type $\left(\mathrm{S}_{+}\right)$, that is,

$$
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } V \quad \text { and } \quad \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle A u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

imply $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $V$.
Let $X$ be a reflexive Banach space, let $X^{*}$ be its dual space and let $\varphi \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$. We say that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ is a Palais-Smale sequence ((PS)-sequence for short) for $\varphi$ if $\left\{\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and

$$
\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } X^{*} \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

We say that $\varphi$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition ((PS)-condition for short) if any (PS)-sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\varphi$ admits a convergent subsequence in $X$.

The following version of the mountain-pass theorem in the sense of manifolds will be used in the sequel. We refer to Ghoussoub [14, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem 2.2. Let $X$ be a Banach space and let $g$, $f \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$. Further, suppose that 0 is a regular value of $g$ and let $M=\{u \in X: g(u)=0\}, u_{0}, u_{1} \in M$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\left\|u_{1}-u_{0}\right\|_{X}>\varepsilon$ and

$$
\inf \left\{f(u): u \in M \text { and }\left\|u-u_{0}\right\|_{X}=\varepsilon\right\}>\max \left\{f\left(u_{0}\right), f\left(u_{1}\right)\right\}
$$

Assume that $f$ satisfies the (PS)-condition on $M$ and that

$$
\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C([-1,1], M): \gamma(-1)=u_{0} \text { and } \gamma(1)=u_{1}\right\}
$$

is nonempty. Then

$$
c=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} f(u)
$$

is a critical value of $f_{\left.\right|_{M}}$.

## 3. The Fučík spectrum through critical points

In this section, we are going to determine the elements of the Fučík spectrum $\Pi_{p}$ through critical points.

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ be a real nonnegative parameter and consider the functional $J_{s}: V \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{s}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x-s \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $J_{s} \in C^{1}(V, \mathbb{R})$. Recall that

$$
S=\left\{u \in V: I(u)=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x=1\right\}
$$

We know that $S$ is a smooth submanifold of $V$ and so, $\tilde{J}_{s}=J_{\left.s\right|_{S}}$ is a $C^{1}$-function in the sense of manifolds.

Applying the Lagrange multiplier rule, we note that $u \in S$ is a critical point of $\tilde{J}_{s}$ (in the sense of manifolds) if and only if there exists $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $J_{s}^{\prime}(u)=t I^{\prime}(u)$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \mathrm{~d} x-s \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{p-1} v \mathrm{~d} x=t \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-2} u v \mathrm{~d} x \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in V$.
First, we investigate the relationship between the critical points of $\tilde{J}_{s}$ and the Fučík spectrum $\Pi_{p}$.
Lemma 3.1. Let $s$ be a nonnegative real parameter. The point $(s+t, t) \in \mathbb{R}_{\tilde{J}}^{2}$ belongs to the spectrum $\Pi_{p}$ if and only if there exists a critical point $u \in S$ of $\tilde{J}_{s}$ such that $t=J_{s}(u)$.

Proof. From the definition of a weak solution of (1.1), see (2.1), we observe that $(t+s, t) \in \Pi_{p}$ if and only if there exists $u \in S$ that solves the following no-flux problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta_{p} u & =(t+s)\left(u^{+}\right)^{p-1}-t\left(u^{-}\right)^{p-1} & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =\text { constant } & & \text { on } \partial \Omega, \\
0 & =\int_{\partial \Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nu \mathrm{~d} \sigma . & &
\end{aligned}
$$

However, the corresponding weak solution of the problem above is given in (3.2). Taking $v=u$ in (3.2) we have that $t=J_{s}(u)$ and the proof is complete.

Lemma 3.1 allows us to find points in $\Pi_{p}$ by the critical points of $\tilde{J}_{s}$. Next we are going to look for minimizers of $\tilde{J}_{s}$.

Proposition 3.2. There hold:
(i) the first eigenfunction $\varphi_{1}=\frac{1}{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p}}}$ is a global minimizer of $\tilde{J}_{s}$;
(ii) the point $(0,-s) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ belongs to $\Pi_{p}$.

Proof. (i) Since $s \geq 0$ we have for $u \in S$

$$
\tilde{J}_{s}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x-s \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \geq-s \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \geq-s=J_{s}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)
$$

for all $u \in S$. Hence, the first eigenfunction $\varphi_{1}=\frac{1}{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p}}} \in V$ is a global minimizer of $\tilde{J}_{s}$.
(ii) From (i) and Lemma 3.1 we get the assertion.

Now we obtain a second critical point of $\tilde{J}_{s}$ as local minimizer.
Proposition 3.3. There hold:
(i) the negative eigenfunction $-\varphi_{1}=-\frac{1}{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p}}}$ is a strict local minimizer of $\tilde{J}_{s}$;
(ii) the point $(s, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ belongs to $\Pi_{p}$.

Proof. (i) Suppose by contradiction that there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset S$ with $u_{n} \neq-\varphi_{1}, u_{n} \rightarrow-\varphi_{1}$ in $V$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{J}_{s}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq 0=\lambda_{1}=\tilde{J}_{s}\left(-\varphi_{1}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $u_{n}$ changes sign for $n$ sufficiently large. Observe that, since $u_{n} \rightarrow-\varphi_{1}, u_{n}$ must be $<0$ somewhere. Suppose that $u_{n} \leq 0$ for a. a. $x \in \Omega$. Then we obtain

$$
\tilde{J}_{s}\left(u_{n}\right)=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x>0=\lambda_{1},
$$

since $u_{n} \neq-\varphi_{1}$ and $u_{n} \neq \varphi_{1}$ contradicting $\tilde{J}_{s}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq 0=\lambda_{1}$. Therefore, $u_{n}$ changes sign. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n}=\frac{u_{n}^{+}}{\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|_{p}} \quad \text { and } \quad r_{n}=\left\|\nabla w_{n}\right\|_{p} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim: $r_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$
Arguing by contradiction, suppose $\left\{r_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is bounded. Then from (3.4) we know that $\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $V$. Hence we find a subsequence (still denoted by $\left.\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right)$ such that $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ for some $w \in X$. Since $\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{p}=1$ and $w_{n} \geq 0$ for a. a. $x \in \Omega$, we see that $\|w\|_{p}=1$ and $w \geq 0$. Therefore, the Lebesgue measure of the set $\left\{x \in \Omega: u_{n}(x)>0\right\}$ does not approach 0 when $n \rightarrow+\infty$. However, this contradicts the assumption that $u_{n} \rightarrow-\varphi_{1}$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ which means that $\left\{x \in \Omega: u_{n}(x)>0\right\} \rightarrow 0$. This proves the Claim.

From (3.3) and (3.4) we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq \tilde{J}_{s}\left(u_{n}\right) & =\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}^{+}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}^{-}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x-s \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \geq\left(r_{n}-s\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $0 \geq r_{n}-s$ which contradicts the Claim. This completes the proof of (i).
(ii) This follows from Lemma 3.1 since $J_{s}\left(-\varphi_{1}\right)=0$.

Using the two local minima from Proposition 3.2 and 3.3 we are looking for a third critical point of $\tilde{J}_{s}$ by using the mountain-pass theorem in its version on $C^{1}$-manifolds.

First, we define a norm of the derivative of the restriction $\tilde{J}_{s}$ of $J_{s}$ to $S$ at the point $u \in S$ by

$$
\left\|\tilde{J}_{s}^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{*}=\min \left\{\left\|J_{s}^{\prime}(u)-t T^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{*}: t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

with $T(\cdot)=\|\cdot\|_{p}^{p}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{*}$ being the norm in the dual space $V^{*}$ of $V$.

Lemma 3.4. The functional $\tilde{J}_{s}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the (PS)-condition on $S$ in the sense of manifolds.
Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq S$ be a (PS)-sequence, that is, $\left\{\tilde{J}_{s}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and $\left\|\tilde{J}_{s}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{*} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then we find a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| \nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla v \mathrm{~d} x-s \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{p-1} v \mathrm{~d} x-t_{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n} v \mathrm{~d} x \mid  \tag{3.5}\\
& \leq \varepsilon_{n}\|v\|_{1, p}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $v \in V$ with $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$.
Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq S$ we have $J_{s}\left(u_{n}\right) \geq\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}-s$ and because $\left\{J_{s}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, we know that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $V$. So we may assume, for a subsequence if necessary, that

$$
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } V \quad \text { and } \quad u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } L^{p}(\Omega)
$$

We choose $v=u_{n}$ in (3.5) and note again that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq S$. Hence, the sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is bounded. Taking $v=u_{n}-u$ in (3.5) we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =s \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{p-1}\left(u_{n}-u\right) \mathrm{d} x+t_{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right) \mathrm{d} x+O\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where the right-hand side of (3.6) goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right) \mathrm{d} x \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

From the $\left(\mathrm{S}_{+}\right)$-property of $-\Delta_{p}$ (see Proposition 2.1), we conclude that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $V$. Thus, $\tilde{J}_{s}$ fulfills the (PS)-condition.

Now we prove the existence of a third critical point of $\tilde{J}_{s}$ which is different from $\varphi_{1}$ and $-\varphi_{1}$.

## Proposition 3.5.

(i) Let

$$
\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C([-1,1], S): \gamma(-1)=-\varphi_{1}, \gamma(1)=\varphi_{1}\right\}
$$

For each $s \geq 0$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(s)=: \inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} J_{s}(u) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a critical value of $\tilde{J}_{s}$ such that $c(s)>\max \left\{\tilde{J}_{s}\left(-\varphi_{1}\right), \tilde{J}_{s}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)\right\}=0$.
(ii) The point $(s+c(s), c(s))$ belongs to $\Pi_{p}$.

Proof. (i) First note that $-\varphi_{1}$ is a strict local minimizer of $\tilde{J}_{s}$ with $\tilde{J}_{s}\left(-\varphi_{1}\right)=0$ by Proposition 3.3 and $\varphi_{1}$ is a global minimizer of $\tilde{J}_{s}$ with $\tilde{J}_{s}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)=-s$ by Proposition 3.2. Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.9 in Cuesta-de Figueiredo-Gossez [8] we can show by using Ekeland's variational principle that

$$
\inf \left\{\tilde{J}_{s}(u): u \in S \text { and }\left\|u-\left(-\varphi_{1}\right)\right\|_{1, p}=\varepsilon\right\}>\max \left\{\tilde{J}_{s}\left(-\varphi_{1}\right), \tilde{J}_{s}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)\right\}=\lambda_{1}
$$

with small $\varepsilon>0$. We choose $\varepsilon>0$ small enough such that

$$
2\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|_{1, p}=\left\|\varphi_{1}-\left(-\varphi_{1}\right)\right\|_{1, p}>\varepsilon
$$

Moreover, from Lemma 3.4 we know that $\tilde{J}_{s}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the (PS)-condition on the manifold $S$. Therefore, we can apply the mountain-pass theorem, stated as Theorem 2.2, which guarantees that $c(s)$ introduced in (3.7) is a critical value of $\tilde{J}_{s}$ with $c(s)>0$. Hence, we have a third critical point different from $-\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{1}$.
(ii) Using the fact that $c(s)$ given in (3.7) is a critical value of $\tilde{J}_{s}$ in combination with Lemma 3.1 shows that $(s+c(s), c(s)) \in \Pi_{p}$.

## 4. The first nontrivial curve

In Proposition 3.5 (ii) we have shown that the point $(s+c(s), c(s))$ belongs to $\Pi_{p}$ for $s \geq 0$. Since $\Pi_{p}$ is symmetric with respect to the diagonal, we can complete it with its symmetric part and obtain the following curve in $\Pi_{p}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}=\{(s+c(s), c(s)),(c(s), s+c(s)): s \geq 0\} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this section, we are going to prove that the curve $\mathcal{C}$ is the first nontrivial curve in $\Pi_{p}$. We start by showing that the lines $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R} \times\{0\}$ are isolated in $\Pi_{p}$.

Proposition 4.1. There is no sequence $\left\{a_{n}, b_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Pi_{p}$ with $a_{n}>0$ and $b_{n}>0$ such that $\left\{a_{n}, b_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow\{a, b\}$ with $a=0$ or $b=0$.
Proof. We argue by contradiction and suppose there exist sequences $\left\{a_{n}, b_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq$ $\Pi_{p}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq V$ with $a_{n} \rightarrow 0, b_{n} \rightarrow b, a_{n}>0, b_{n}>0,\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p}=1$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{p} u_{n} & =a_{n}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{p-1}-b_{n}\left(u_{n}^{-}\right)^{p-1} & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u_{n} & =\text { constant } & & \text { on } \partial \Omega  \tag{4.2}\\
0 & =\int_{\partial \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nu \mathrm{~d} \sigma & &
\end{align*}
$$

The weak formulation of (4.2) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla v \mathrm{~d} x=a_{n} \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{p-1} v \mathrm{~d} x-b_{n} \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{-}\right)^{p-1} v \mathrm{~d} x \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in V$. We first test (4.3) with $v=u_{n}$ and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p} & =a_{n} \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{p-1} u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x-b_{n} \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{-}\right)^{p-1} u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =a_{n} \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+b_{n} \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{-}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \leq a_{n}+b_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $V$. We may assume, for a subsequence if necessary, that

$$
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } V \quad \text { and } \quad u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } L^{p}(\Omega)
$$

Testing (4.3) with $v=u_{n}-u$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =a_{n} \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{p-1}\left(u_{n}-u\right) \mathrm{d} x-b_{n} \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{-}\right)^{p-1}\left(u_{n}-u\right) \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right) \mathrm{d} x=0
$$

From the $\left(\mathrm{S}_{+}\right)$-property of $-\Delta_{p}$ (see Proposition 2.1), we conclude that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $V$. Hence, $u$ solves the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \mathrm{~d} x=-b \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{-}\right)^{p-1} v \mathrm{~d} x \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in V$. If we take $v=u^{+}$in (4.4), we see that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x=0
$$

This means that either $u^{+}=0$ or $u^{+}=\varphi_{1}$ since $\|u\|_{p}=1$.
Let us first suppose that $u^{+}=0$. Then $u \leq 0$ and from (4.3) we know that $u$ is an eigenfunction of the $p$-Laplacian with no-flux boundary condition, see (1.4). Therefore, $u=-\varphi_{1}$ since the only eigenfunctions that have constant sign are those related to $\lambda_{1}=0$. We conclude that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges either to $\varphi_{1}$ or to $-\varphi_{1}$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$. This implies that either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\{x \in \Omega: u_{n}(x)<0\right\}\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { or } \quad\left|\left\{x \in \Omega: u_{n}(x)>0\right\}\right| \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, with $|\cdot|$ being the Lebesgue measure.
Taking $v=u_{n}^{+}$as test function in (4.3) along with Hölder's inequality and the continuous embedding $V \hookrightarrow L^{r}(\Omega)$ for any $r \in\left(p, p^{*}\right]$ with embedding constant $C>0$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}^{+}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =a_{n} \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\left(a_{n}+1\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq\left(a_{n}+1\right) C^{p}\left|\left\{x \in \Omega: u_{n}(x)>0\right\}\right|^{1-\frac{p}{r}}\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|_{1, p}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

From this we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\{x \in \Omega: u_{n}(x)>0\right\}\right|^{1-\frac{p}{r}} \geq\left(a_{n}+1\right)^{-1} C^{-p} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if we use $v=u_{n}^{-}$in (4.3) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\{x \in \Omega: u_{n}(x)<0\right\}\right|^{1-\frac{p}{r}} \geq\left(b_{n}+1\right)^{-1} C^{-p} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $\left\{a_{n}, b_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \Pi_{p}$ does not belong to the trivial lines of $\Pi_{p}$, we have that $u_{n}$ changes sign. Hence, from (4.6) and (4.7) we reach a contradiction to (4.5). This completes the proof.

Before we state the main result in this section, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 4.2. For every $r>\inf _{S} J_{s}=-s$, each connected component of $\{u \in S$ : $\left.J_{s}(u)<r\right\}$ contains a critical point which is a local minimizer of $\tilde{J}_{s}$.

Proof. Let $C$ be a connected component of $\left\{u \in S: J_{s}(u)<r\right\}$ and let $d=$ $\inf \left\{J_{s}(u): u \in \bar{C}\right\}$.

Claim: There exists $u_{0} \in \bar{C}$ such that $\tilde{J}_{s}\left(u_{0}\right)=d$.
Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C$ be a sequence such that $\tilde{J}_{s}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq d+\frac{1}{n^{2}}$. From Ekeland's variational principle applied to $\tilde{J}_{s}$ on $\bar{C}$ we get a sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \bar{C}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{J}_{s}\left(v_{n}\right) \leq \tilde{J}_{s}\left(u_{n}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n}-v_{n}\right\|_{1, p} & \leq \frac{1}{n}  \tag{4.9}\\
\tilde{J}_{s}\left(v_{n}\right) & \leq \tilde{J}_{s}(v)+\frac{1}{n}\left\|v-v_{n}\right\|_{1, p} \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $v \in \bar{C}$.
From (4.8) and $n$ sufficiently large we have that

$$
\tilde{J}_{s}\left(v_{n}\right) \leq \tilde{J}_{s}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq d+\frac{1}{n^{2}}<r
$$

Moreover, applying (4.10), we are able to show that $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a (PS)-sequence for $\tilde{J}_{s}$. Then by Lemma 3.4 and (4.9) we conclude, for a subsequence if necessary, that $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $V$ with $u_{0} \in \bar{C}$ and $\tilde{J}_{s}\left(u_{0}\right)=d$. Finally, note that $u_{0} \notin \partial C$ since otherwise the maximality of $C$ as a connected component would be contradicted. Thus, $u_{0}$ is a local minimizer of $\tilde{J}_{s}$.

The next results show that $\mathcal{C}$ is the first nontrivial curve in $\Pi_{p}$.
Theorem 4.3. Let $s \geq 0$. Then $(s+c(s), c(s)) \in \mathcal{C}$ is the first nontrivial point of $\Pi_{p}$ in the intersection between $\Pi_{p}$ and the line $(s, 0)+t(1,1)$ with $t>0$.

Proof. We are going to show the assertion by contradiction. Let $0<\mu<c(s)$ and suppose that $(s+\mu, \mu) \in \Pi_{p}$. Taking Proposition 4.1 and the closedness of $\Pi_{p}$ into account, we may suppose that $\mu$ is the minimum number with the required property. By using Lemma 3.1 it is clear that $\mu$ is a critical value of the functional $\tilde{J}_{s}$ and there is no critical value of $\tilde{J}_{s}$ in the interval $(0, \mu)$.

Let $u \in S$ be a critical point of $\tilde{J}_{s}$ at level $\mu$. We have for all $v \in V$

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \mathrm{~d} x=(s+\mu) \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{p-1} v \mathrm{~d} x-\mu \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{-}\right)^{p-1} v \mathrm{~d} x
$$

see Lemma 3.1. Choosing $v=u^{+}$gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x=(s+\mu) \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if we take $v=-u^{-}$we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x=\mu \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{-}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.11) and (4.12) we see that

$$
\tilde{J}_{s}\left(\frac{u^{+}}{\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{p}}\right)=\tilde{J}_{s}\left(\frac{-u^{-}}{\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{p}}\right)=\mu
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{J}_{s}\left(\frac{u^{-}}{\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{p}}\right)=\mu-s \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we introduce for all $t \in[0,1]$ the following paths defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}(t)=\frac{(1-t) u+t u^{+}}{\left\|(1-t) u+t u^{+}\right\|_{p}} \\
& u_{2}(t)=\frac{t u^{+}+(1-t) u^{-}}{\left\|t u^{+}+(1-t) u^{-}\right\|_{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
u_{3}(t)=\frac{-t u^{-}+(1-t) u}{\left\|-t u^{-}+(1-t) u\right\|_{p}}
$$

Note that these paths are well-defined in $S$. It is easy to see that $u_{1}(t)$ goes from $u$ to $\frac{u^{+}}{\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{p}}, u_{2}(t)$ goes from $\frac{u^{+}}{\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{p}}$ to $\frac{u^{-}}{\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{p}}$ and $u_{3}(t)$ goes from $u$ to $\frac{-u^{-}}{\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{p}}$.

By means of (4.11) and (4.12) it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{J}_{s}\left(u_{1}(t)\right)=\mu=\tilde{J}_{s}\left(u_{3}(t)\right) \\
& \tilde{J}_{s}\left(u_{2}(t)\right)=\mu-s t^{p} \frac{\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}}{\left\|t u^{+}+(1-t) u^{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}} \leq \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \in[0,1]$.
From this we know that we can move from $u$ to $\frac{u^{-}}{\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{p}}$ via $u_{1}(t)$ and $u_{2}(t)$ which lies at level $\mu-s$, so we stay at level $\leq \mu$. Let us investigate the levels below $\mu-s$. We introduce

$$
\Upsilon=\left\{v \in S: \tilde{J}_{s}(v)<\mu-s\right\}
$$

We observe that $\varphi_{1} \in \Upsilon$ and $-\varphi_{1} \in \Upsilon$ if $\mu>s$. Due to the minimality property of $\mu$, we know that $\varphi_{1}$ and $-\varphi_{1}$ are the only possible critical points of $\tilde{J}_{s}$ in $\Upsilon$. Since $\frac{u^{-}}{\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{p}}$ does not change sign and vanishes on a set of positive measure, it cannot be a critical point of $\tilde{J}_{s}$. Hence, we find a path $\beta:[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \rightarrow S$ of class $C^{1}$ with $\beta(0)=\frac{u^{-}}{\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{p}}$ and $\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} t} \tilde{J}_{s}(\beta(t))\right|_{t=0} \neq 0$. Using this path and (4.13) we can move from $\frac{u^{-}}{\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{p}}$ to a point $v$ by a path in $S$ such that $\tilde{J}_{s}(v)<\mu-s$. In particular, we have $v \in \Upsilon$.

Applying Lemma 4.2 we obtain that the connected component of $\Upsilon$ containing $v$ crosses $\left\{\varphi_{1},-\varphi_{1}\right\}$. Let us suppose that we can continue from $v$ to $\varphi_{1}$, the case continuing to $-\varphi_{1}$ can be argued similarly. Therefore, there exists a path $u_{4}(t)$ in $\Upsilon$ from $\frac{u^{-}}{\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{p}}$ to $\varphi_{1}$, whose symmetric path $-u_{4}(t)$ goes from $-\frac{u^{-}}{\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{p}}$ to $-\varphi_{1}$. As $u_{4}(t) \in S$, we have that

$$
\tilde{J}_{s}\left(-u_{4}(t)\right) \leq \tilde{J}_{s}\left(u_{4}(t)\right)+s<\mu-s+s=\mu
$$

since for each $\hat{u} \in S$ it holds

$$
\left|\tilde{J}_{s}(\hat{u})-\tilde{J}_{s}(-\hat{u})\right| \leq s
$$

We already observed that we go from $-\varphi_{1}$ to $\frac{-u^{-}}{\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{p}}$ via $-u_{4}(t)$ by staying at level lower then $\mu$. Finally from the path $u_{3}(t)$ we go from $u$ to $\frac{-u^{-}}{\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{p}}$ by staying at level $\mu$.

In summary, we have shown that we constructed a path joining $u$ and $\varphi_{1}$ via $u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)$ as well as $u_{4}(t)$ and we have a path joining $u$ and $-\varphi_{1}$ via $u_{3}(t)$ and $-u_{4}(t)$. Putting these paths together we have a path $\gamma(t)$ on $S$ joining $\varphi_{1}$ and $-\varphi_{1}$ with $\tilde{J}_{s}(\gamma(t)) \leq \mu$. In particular we have that $\tilde{J}_{s}$ has a critical value $\mu$ with $\lambda_{1}<\mu<c(s)$, but there is no critical value in the interval $] \lambda_{1}, \mu[$ and this contradicts the definition of $c(s)$ in (3.7).

A direct consequence of Theorem 4.3 is a variational characterization of the second eigenvalue $\lambda_{2}$ of problem (1.4).

Corollary 4.4. The second eigenvalue $\lambda_{2}$ of (1.4) has the following variational characterization

$$
\lambda_{2}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{u \in \gamma[-1,1]}\left[\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right] .
$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 4.3, Proposition 3.5 (i) and (3.1) for $s=0$ in order to get

$$
c(0)=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} J_{0}(u)=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{u \in \gamma[-1,1]}\left[\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right] .
$$

## 5. Properties of the first curve

In this section, we are going to prove some properties of the curve $\mathcal{C}$ defined in (4.1) and we study its asymptotic behavior.

Proposition 5.1. The curve $s \mapsto(s+c(s), c(s))$ is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant $L \leq 1$ and decreasing.
Proof. Let $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ be such that $s_{1}<s_{2}$. Then we have $\tilde{J}_{s_{1}}(u) \geq \tilde{J}_{s_{2}}(u)$ for all $u \in S$ and so $c\left(s_{1}\right) \geq c\left(s_{2}\right)$.

For every $\varepsilon>0$ we find a path $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that

$$
\max _{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{J}_{s_{2}}(u) \leq c\left(s_{2}\right)+\varepsilon
$$

This implies

$$
0 \leq c\left(s_{1}\right)-c\left(s_{2}\right) \leq \max _{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{J}_{s_{1}}(u)-\max _{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{J}_{s_{2}}(u)+\varepsilon
$$

Let $u_{0} \in \gamma[-1,1]$ be such that

$$
\max _{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{J}_{s_{1}}(u)=\tilde{J}_{s_{1}}\left(u_{0}\right)
$$

from which we conclude that

$$
0 \leq c\left(s_{1}\right)-c\left(s_{2}\right) \leq \tilde{J}_{s_{1}}\left(u_{0}\right)-\tilde{J}_{s_{2}}\left(u_{0}\right)+\varepsilon=s_{1}-s_{2}+\varepsilon
$$

As $\varepsilon>0$ was arbitrary, we obtain that the curve $s \mapsto(s+c(s), c(s))$ is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant $L \leq 1$.

Let us prove that the curve is decreasing. To this end, let $0<s_{1}<s_{2}$. Theorem 4.3 implies that $s_{1}+c\left(s_{1}\right)<s_{2}+c\left(s_{2}\right)$ since $\left(s_{1}+c\left(s_{1}\right), c\left(s_{1}\right)\right),\left(s_{2}+c\left(s_{2}\right), c\left(s_{2}\right)\right) \in \Pi_{p}$. From the first part of the proof, we already mentioned that $c\left(s_{1}\right) \geq c\left(s_{2}\right)$. This completes the proof.

Next, we study the asymptotic behavior of the curve $\mathcal{C}$. Since $c(s)$ is decreasing and positive, there exists $\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} c(s)$. As it was done in [2], [19] and [21], we distinguish between the two cases $p \leq N$ and $p>N$. We define for $1<p<\infty$

$$
\bar{\lambda}(N, p)=\inf \left\{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x: u \in S \text { and } u \text { changes sign in } \Omega\right\}
$$

and for $p>N$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\lambda}=\inf \left\{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x: u \in S \text { and } u \text { vanishes somewhere in } \bar{\Omega}\right\} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded in $C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ when $p>N$, the definition (5.1) makes sense and the infimum is achieved. So, $\bar{\lambda}>0$. Moreover, we see that $\bar{\lambda}(N, p)=\bar{\lambda}$ when $p>N$ and $\bar{\lambda}(N, p)=0$ when $p \leq N$, see Arias-Campos-Gossez [2]. Note that the sequences defined in [2, Remark 2.7] can be also used in our setting.

We start with the case $p \leq N$.
Theorem 5.2. Let $p \leq N$. Then

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} c(s)=0
$$

Proof. Arguing by contradiction we assume that there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{J}_{s}(u) \geq \varepsilon \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and for all $s \geq 0$. Since $p \leq N$, we can choose a function $\phi \in V$ which is unbounded from above. Consider the path $\gamma \in \Gamma$ defined by

$$
\gamma(t)=\frac{t \varphi_{1}+(1-|t|) \phi}{\left\|t \varphi_{1}+(1-|t|) \phi\right\|_{p}}
$$

for $t \in[-1,1]$. The maximum of $\tilde{J}_{s}$ on $\gamma[-1,1]$ is achieved at $t_{s} \in[-1,1]$, that is

$$
\max _{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{J}_{s}(\gamma(t))=\tilde{J}_{s}\left(\gamma\left(t_{s}\right)\right)
$$

Taking $v_{s}=t_{s} \varphi_{1}+\left(1-\left|t_{s}\right|\right) \phi$ we obtain from (5.2) that

$$
\tilde{J}_{s}\left(v_{s}\right) \geq \varepsilon\left\|v_{s}\right\|_{p}^{p}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{s}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x-s \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{s}^{+}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{s}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we let $s \rightarrow+\infty$, we may assume that $t_{s} \rightarrow \hat{t} \in[-1,1]$ (for a subsequence if necessary). Since $v_{s}$ is bounded in $V$, from (5.3) we have that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(v_{s}^{+}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } s \rightarrow+\infty
$$

from which we conclude that

$$
\hat{t} \varphi_{1}+(1-|\hat{t}|) \phi \leq 0
$$

Since $\phi$ is unbounded from above, this is only possible for $\hat{t}=-1$. Then taking $\hat{t}=-1$ and passing to the limit in (5.3) we get

$$
0=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \varphi_{1}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega}\left|\varphi_{1}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

This implies $\varepsilon \leq 0$ and so we have a contradiction.
Let $\tilde{\Pi}_{p}$ be the nontrivial part of $\Pi_{p}$, that is, $\tilde{\Pi}_{p}=\Pi_{p} \backslash\{(0 \times \mathbb{R}) \cup(\mathbb{R} \times 0)\}$. Theorem 5.2 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 5.3. Let $p \leq N$. Then there does not exist $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\tilde{\Pi}_{p}$ is contained in the set $\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a\right.$ and $\left.b>\varepsilon\right\}$.

Let us now study the case $p>N$.

Theorem 5.4. Let $p>N$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} c(s)=\bar{\lambda}>0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\lambda}$ is defined in (5.1).
Proof. By contradiction we suppose that there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{J}_{s}(u)>\bar{\lambda}+\varepsilon \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and for all $s \geq 0$. Let $u$ be a minimizer of (5.1) and consider the path $\gamma \in \Gamma$ defined by

$$
\gamma(t)=\frac{t \varphi_{1}+(1-|t|) u}{\left\|t \varphi_{1}+(1-|t|) u\right\|_{p}}
$$

for $t \in[-1,1]$. The path is well defined because $u$ vanishes somewhere, but $\varphi_{1}$ does not and it belongs to $\Gamma$.

As in the proof of Theorem 5.2, for every $s>0$, we fix $t_{s} \in[-1,1]$ such that

$$
\max _{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{J}_{s}(\gamma(t))=\tilde{J}_{s}\left(\gamma\left(t_{s}\right)\right)
$$

Denoting $v_{s}=t_{s} \varphi_{1}+\left(1-\left|t_{s}\right|\right) u$, from (5.5) it follows

$$
\tilde{J}_{s}\left(v_{s}\right) \geq(\bar{\lambda}+\varepsilon)\left\|v_{s}\right\|_{p}^{p}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{s}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x-s \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{s}^{+}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \geq(\bar{\lambda}+\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{s}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $s \rightarrow+\infty$, we can assume, for a subsequence, $t_{s} \rightarrow \bar{t} \in[-1,1]$. The uniform boundedness of $v_{s}$ implies $\int_{\Omega}\left(v_{s}^{+}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0$ due to (5.6). Since $v_{s} \rightarrow v_{\hat{t}}$ in $V$, we have $v_{\hat{t}}^{+}=0$ in $\bar{\Omega}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{t} \varphi_{1} \leq-(1-|\hat{t}|) u \quad \text { in } \bar{\Omega} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u$ vanishes somewhere in $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\varphi_{1} \equiv \frac{1}{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p}}}>0$, from (5.7) we obtain that $\hat{t} \leq 0$. Passing to the limit in (5.6) we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(\hat{t} \varphi_{1}+(1-|\hat{t}|) u\right)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \geq(\bar{\lambda}+\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega}\left|\hat{t} \varphi_{1}+(1-|\hat{t}|) u\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

Since $\nabla \varphi_{1} \equiv 0$ and due to $(c+d)^{p} \geq c^{p}+d^{p}$ for $c, d \geq 0$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
(1-|\hat{t}|)^{p} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x & \geq(\bar{\lambda}+\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega}\left|\hat{t} \varphi_{1}+(1-|\hat{t}|) u\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{5.8}\\
& \geq(\bar{\lambda}+\varepsilon)\left[|\hat{t}|^{p} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{1}^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+(1-|\hat{t}|)^{p} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right]
\end{align*}
$$

If $\hat{t}=-1$, (5.8) becomes

$$
0 \geq(\bar{\lambda}+\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{1}^{p} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

Thus, $\bar{\lambda}+\varepsilon \leq 0$ which is a contradiction.
If $\hat{t} \in]-1,0]$, since $u$ is a minimizer of $(5.1),(5.8)$ becomes

$$
(1-|\hat{t}|)^{p} \bar{\lambda} \geq(\bar{\lambda}+\varepsilon)(1-|\hat{t}|)^{p}
$$

So, $\varepsilon \leq 0$, a contradiction. This shows (5.4).
As a consequence of Theorem 5.4, we have the following result.
Proposition 5.5. Let $p>N$. Then $\tilde{\Pi}_{p}$ is contained in the open set $\{(a, b) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{2}:$ a and $\left.b>\bar{\lambda}\right\}$, where $\bar{\lambda}$ is the largest number such that this inclusion holds. In particular, $\lambda_{2}>\bar{\lambda}$.

First, we prove the following lemma.
Lemma 5.6. Let $p>N$ and let $u$ be a minimizer of (5.1). Then $u$ does not change sign in $\Omega$ and $u$ vanishes at exactly one point in $\bar{\Omega}$.
Proof. Let $u$ be a minimizer of (5.1), let $x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}$ and let

$$
V_{x_{0}}=\left\{v \in V: v\left(x_{0}\right)=0\right\}
$$

We are going to show that, if $u$ vanishes at $x_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \mathrm{~d} x=\bar{\lambda} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-2} u v \mathrm{~d} x \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in V_{x_{0}}$. We have that

$$
\bar{\lambda}=\inf \left\{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x: v \in S \text { and } v \in V_{x_{0}}\right\}
$$

and the infimum is achieved at $u$. The Lagrange multiplier rule implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \mathrm{~d} x=\lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-2} u v \mathrm{~d} x \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in V_{x_{0}}$ and for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. If we take $v=u$ in (5.10), we obtain that $\lambda=\bar{\lambda}$ and so (5.9) is true.

Let us now assume that $u$ vanishes in at least two points $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \bar{\Omega}$. The function $w=|u|$ is also a minimizer in (5.1) which vanishes at $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, that is, $w$ fulfills (5.9) for all $v \in V_{x_{1}}$ and also for all $v \in V_{x_{2}}$. Note that any $v \in V$ can be written as $v=v_{1}+v_{2}$ with $v_{1} \in V_{x_{1}}$ and $v_{2} \in V_{x_{2}}$. Therefore, $w$ satisfies (5.9) for all $v \in V$. If we then choose $v=1$ in (5.9), we see that $w \geq 0$ changes sign which is a contradiction.

Finally, we want to show that the minimizer $u$ does not change sign. Let $u^{+} \not \equiv 0$ with $u\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. This implies $u^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. Taking $v=u^{+}$in (5.9) we see that $\frac{u^{+}}{\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{p}}$ is a minimizer in (5.1). Hence, due to the first part of the proof, $u^{+}$vanishes only at $x_{0}$ and so $u \geq 0$.

Now we can prove Proposition 5.5.
Proof of Proposition 5.5. Let $(a, b) \in \tilde{\Pi}_{p}$ and let $u \not \equiv 0$ be a corresponding solution of (1.1). Choosing $v=1$ as test function in (2.1) we obtain that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(a\left(u^{+}\right)^{p-1}-b\left(u^{-}\right)^{p-1}\right) \mathrm{d} x=0
$$

Hence, $u$ changes $\operatorname{sign}$ in $\Omega$. Note that $u^{+}$and $u^{-}$both vanish somewhere since $u$ changes sign. Testing (2.1) with $v=u^{+}$and $v=u^{-}$we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x}{\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x} \geq \bar{\lambda} \quad \text { and } \quad b=\frac{\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x}{\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x} \geq \bar{\lambda} . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we want to show that $a, b>\bar{\lambda}$. Let us assume that $a=\bar{\lambda}$. Then we see from (5.11) that $\frac{u^{+}}{\|u+\|_{p}}$ is a minimizer in (5.1). Since $u$ changes sign, $u^{+}$vanishes in many points (at least in more than one point) which contradicts Lemma 5.6. Hence $a>\bar{\lambda}$ and in the same way we can show that $b>\bar{\lambda}$. Therefore, $c(s)>\bar{\lambda}$ and from Theorem 5.4 we know that $\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} c(s)=\bar{\lambda}$.

Proposition 3.5 (ii) implies that $(s+c(s), c(s)) \in \tilde{\Pi}_{p} \subset \Pi_{p}$ and in particular, $(c(0), c(0))=\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right) \in \tilde{\Pi}_{p}$. Since $c(s)>\bar{\lambda}$ from the first part of the proof, it follows that $\bar{\lambda}<\lambda_{2}$.
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