# EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR RESONANT DOUBLE PHASE PROBLEMS WITH MIXED BOUNDARY VALUE CONDITIONS

YIHAO YANG, WULONG LIU, PATRICK WINKERT, AND XINGYE YAN

ABSTRACT. We study a double phase problem with mixed boundary value conditions with reaction terms that resonate at the first eigenvalue of the related eigenvalue problem. Based on the maximum principle and homological local linking, we are going to prove the existence of at least two bounded nontrivial solutions for this problem.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the following double phase problems with mixed boundary conditions

$$A(u) + |u|^{p-2} u + a(x) |u|^{q-2} u = f(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
  

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \sigma, \qquad (1.1)$$
  

$$\left( |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u + a(x) |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \right) \cdot \nu = g(x, u) \quad \text{on } \Gamma,$$

where

$$A(u) := -\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u + a(x)|\nabla u|^{q-2}\nabla u\right)$$

is the double phase operator,  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , with a  $C^1$  boundary  $\partial\Omega$  such that  $\partial\Omega = \sigma \cup \Gamma$  and  $\sigma \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$ ,  $\nu(x)$  denotes the outer unit normal of  $\Omega$  at  $x \in \Gamma$ ,

$$1 (1.2)$$

Clearly,  $q < p_*$  implies  $q < p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ . The nonlinearities f and g satisfy the following hypotheses:

- (H)  $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $g: \Gamma \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  are Carathéodory functions such that the following hold:
  - (i) There exist constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x,t)| &\leq C_1 \left( 1 + |t|^{r_1 - 1} \right) \quad \text{for a.a. } x \in \Omega, \\ |g(x,t)| &\leq C_2 \left( 1 + |t|^{r_2 - 1} \right) \quad \text{for a.a. } x \in \Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $q < r_1 < p^*$  and  $q < r_2 < p_*$ , respectively.

(ii)

$$\lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \frac{qF(x,t)}{|t|^q} \le \lambda_1(q) \quad \text{uniformly for a.a. } x \in \Omega,$$

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J15, 35J62, 35J92, 35P30.

Key words and phrases. Double phase equation, resonance, mixed boundary conditions, local linking.

 $\lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \frac{qG(x,t)}{|t|^q} \leq \lambda_1(q) \quad \text{uniformly for a.a. } x \in \Gamma,$ where  $F(x,t) = \int_0^t f(x,s) \, \mathrm{d}s \text{ and } G(x,t) = \int_0^t g(x,s) \, \mathrm{d}s;$ (iii)  $\lim_{|t| \to +\infty} [f(x,t)t - qF(x,t)] = +\infty \quad \text{uniformly for a.a. } x \in \Omega;$  $\lim_{|t| \to +\infty} [g(x,t)t - qG(x,t)] = +\infty \quad \text{uniformly for a.a. } x \in \Gamma;$ (iv) There exist  $\delta > 0, \ \theta > \tilde{\lambda}_1(p) \text{ and } 0 < \tilde{\lambda} < \tilde{\lambda}_2(p) \text{ such that}$  $\theta \, |t|^p \leq pF(x,t) \leq \tilde{\lambda} \, |t|^p \quad \text{for a.a. } x \in \Omega \text{ and for all } |t| \leq \delta,$  $\theta \, |t|^p \leq pG(x,t) \leq \tilde{\lambda} \, |t|^p \quad \text{for a.a. } x \in \Gamma \text{ and for all } |t| \leq \delta.$ 

where  $\lambda_1(q)$  stands for the first eigenvalue of the weighted q-Laplace mixed boundary condition problem while  $\tilde{\lambda}_1(p)$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}_2(p)$  represent the first and the second eigenvalues of the p-Laplace mixed boundary condition problem, respectively, see Section 2 for more details.

The solutions of problem (1.1) are understood in the weak sense, that is,  $u \in X$  is a solution of (1.1) if

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u + a(x)| \nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \right) \cdot \nabla v \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$+ \int_{\Omega} \left( |u|^{p-2} u + a(x)|u|^{q-2} u \right) v \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u) v \, \mathrm{d}S,$$

is satisfied for all  $v \in X$ , where  $X = \{u \in W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) : u|_{\sigma} = 0\}$  is a closed subspace of  $W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ , which will be defined in Section 2.

The differential operator

$$\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u + a(x)|\nabla u|^{q-2}\nabla u\right), \quad u \in W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$$
(1.3)

involved in problem (1.1) is the so-called double phase operator. The integral form of it is denoted by

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^p + a(x) |\nabla u|^q \right) \mathrm{d}x,\tag{1.4}$$

which was first introduced by Zhikov [40] to describe the phenomenon that hardening properties of strongly anisotropic materials drastically change with the point in the domain. The function  $a(\cdot)$  was used as an aid to regulating the mixture between two different materials, with power hardening of rates p and q, respectively, see for instance the works of Zhikov [40–42]. The energy density of (1.4) exhibits ellipticity in the gradient of order q on the points x where a(x) is positive and of order p on the points x where a(x) vanishes. This is the reason why we call (1.3) as the double phase operator. Both theoretical and applications aspects of functionals of type (1.4) have been intensively studied by many researchers, see for example, Baroni-Colombo-Mingione [2–4], Baroni-Kuusi-Mingione [5], Byun-Oh [6], Colombo-Mingione [8,9], De Filippis-Mingione [11], De Filippis-Palatucci [12], Gasiński-Winkert [14,15], Liu-Dai [18–20], Liu-Dai-Papageorgiou-Winkert [21], Liu-Winkert [22], Marcellini [24, 25], Ok [27, 28], Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Repovš [30,31], Perera-Squassina [36], Ragusa-Tachikawa [37], Zeng-Bai-Gasiński-Winkert [38,39] and the references therein.

The purpose of this paper is to study the multiplicity of solutions for problem (1.1). There are two main characteristics of this problem: one is that the reaction terms resonate at the corresponding eigenvalues; the other one is the appearance of nonlinear boundary conditions and mixed boundary conditions.

The main result in this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let hypotheses (1.2) and (H) be satisfied, then problem (1.1) has at least two nontrivial solutions  $u_1, u_2 \in X \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ .

Theorem 1.1 is related to the recent results obtained in Liu-Zeng-Gasiński-Kim [23], Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Repovš [31] and Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Zhang [33]. Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Repovš [31] investigated the existence of multiple solutions to a double phase Robin problem when resonating at the first eigenvalue of the weighted *p*-Laplace Robin problem, applying the local linking of the Morse theory to derive the existence of at least two bounded solutions. Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Zhang [33] considered the existence of multiple solutions to the Dirichlet double phase problem when resonating at the first eigenvalue of the weighted *p*-Laplace Dirichlet equation, using variational methods together with Morse theory to yield the existence of at least two bounded nontrivial solutions. Liu-Zeng-Gasiński-Kim [23] studied a nonlinear complementarity problem (NCP) with a double phase differential operator and a generalized multivalued boundary condition. By using the Moreau-Yosida approximation method, the regularization problem corresponding to NCP was introduced, and finally, the properties of the solution set of NCP were obtained. Inspired by the above papers, we are going to study the resonant double phase equations under mixed boundary conditions given in (1.1) in the present paper. The reaction terms resonate at the first eigenvalue of the weighted q-Laplace equation with the mixed boundary, which is different from Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Repovš [31] and Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Zhang [33]. The mixed boundary conditions are divided into two parts, one is the Dirichlet boundary condition and the other is the nonlinear boundary condition, which is different from Liu-Zeng-Gasiński-Kim [23]. These differences bring new challenges. In order to overcome these difficulties, we need to require more elaborate calculations to get the compactness condition and the homological local linking.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on variational methods and Morse theoretic aspects, especially the homological local linking. First, by the hypotheses (H)(i) and (H)(iii), we show that the corresponding energy functional J of (1.1) satisfies the Cerami condition. Second, by (H)(ii) and (H)(iii), we prove that J is coercive, and then by the Weierstrass-Tonelli theorem, it is concluded that there exists  $u_1 \neq 0$  such that  $J'(u_1) = 0$ . Finally, in order to obtain the second solution  $u_2$ , we verify that J has a local (1,1)-linking at 0 by hypothesis (H)(ii). In addition, we study the eigenvalue problem of the weighted q-Laplace equation with mixed boundary conditions.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some main variational tools and introduce the Musielak-Orlicz spaces  $L^{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$  and  $W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$  including some of its properties. We also present some properties of the weighted q-Laplace equation with mixed boundary conditions and the related first eigenvalue and its eigenfunction. The proof of the Theorem 1.1 is then given in Section 3.

#### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we first recall the main properties on the theory of Musielak-Orlicz spaces  $L^{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$  and  $W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ , respectively. We refer to Colasuonno-Squassina [7], Crespo-Blanco-Gasiński-Harjulehto-Winkert [10], Harjulehto-Hästö [16] and Musielak [26] for the main results in this direction.

Suppose (1.2) and let  $\mathcal{H}: \overline{\Omega} \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  be the function defined by

$$\mathcal{H}(x,t) = t^p + a(x)t^q.$$

Then, the Musielak-Orlicz space  $L^{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$  is defined by

$$L^{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \mid u \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable and } \rho_{\mathcal{H}}(u) < +\infty \right\}$$

equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$||u||_{\mathcal{H}} = \inf \left\{ \tau > 0 \ \rho_{\mathcal{H}} \left( \frac{u}{\tau} \right) \le 1 \right\},$$

where the modular function  $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}(\cdot)$  is given by

$$\rho_{\mathcal{H}}(u) := \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{H}(x, |u|) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\Omega} \left( |u|^p + a(x)|u|^q \right) \mathrm{d}x.$$

We know that the space  $L^{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$  is a reflexive Banach space. Moreover, we define the weighted Lebesgue space  $L^q_a(\Omega)$ 

$$L^q_a(\Omega) = \left\{ u \mid u \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable and } \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^q \, \mathrm{d}x < +\infty \right\},$$

which is endowed with the seminorm

$$||u||_{q,a} = \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^q \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

It is not easy to check the validity of the following continuous embeddings

 $L^q(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q_a(\Omega) \cap L^p(\Omega).$ 

The Musielak-Orlicz Sobolev space  $W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$  is defined by

$$W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \, : \, |\nabla u| \in L^{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \right\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,\mathcal{H}} = \|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

where  $\|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \||\nabla u|\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ . Similarly, we define

$$W^{1,\mathcal{K}}(\Omega) = \{ u \in L^q_a(\Omega) : |\nabla u| \in L^q_a(\Omega) \},\$$

which is endowed with the norm

$$||u||_{1,\mathcal{K}} = ||\nabla u||_{q,\mu} + ||u||_{q,\mu}.$$

We know that  $W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$  and  $W^{1,\mathcal{K}}(\Omega)$  are reflexive Banach spaces. Moreover, we have the following embedding results, see for example Crespo-Blanco-Gasiński-Harjulehto-Winkert [10, Proposition 2.16] or Gasiński-Winkert [15, Proposition 2.2].

**Proposition 2.1.** Let (1.2) be satisfied and let

$$p^* := \frac{Np}{N-p}$$
 and  $p_* := \frac{(N-1)p}{N-p}$ .

Then the following embedding hold:

- (i)  $W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega)$  is continuous for all  $r \in [1,p^*]$  and compact for all  $r \in [1,p^*)$ ;
- (ii)  $W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^r(\partial\Omega)$  is continuous for all  $r \in [1, p_*]$  and compact for all  $r \in [1, p_*]$ ;
- (iii)  $W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1,\mathcal{K}}(\Omega)$  is continuous.

Let

$$\varrho(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^p + a(x) |\nabla u|^q + |u|^p + a(x) |u|^q \right) \mathrm{d}x.$$
(2.1)

The norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and the modular function  $\rho$  are related as follows, see Liu-Dai [18, Proposition 2.1] or Crespo-Blanco-Gasiński-Harjulehto-Winkert [10, Proposition 2.14].

**Proposition 2.2.** Let (1.2) be satisfied, let  $u \in W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$  and let  $\varrho$  be defined by (2.1). Then the following hold:

- (i) If  $u \neq 0$ , then  $||u|| = \lambda$  if and only if  $\varrho(\frac{u}{\lambda}) = 1$ ;
- (ii) ||u|| < 1 (resp. > 1, = 1) if and only if  $\rho(u) < 1$  (resp. > 1, = 1);
- (iii) If ||u|| < 1, then  $||u||^q \le \varrho(u) \le ||u||^p$ ;
- (iv) If ||u|| > 1, then  $||u||^p \le \varrho(u) \le ||y||^q$ ;
- (v)  $||u|| \to 0$  if and only if  $\varrho(u) \to 0$ ;
- (vi)  $||u|| \to +\infty$  if and only if  $\varrho(u) \to +\infty$ .

Let  $L: W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \to W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)^*$  be the nonlinear operator given by

$$\langle L(u), v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u + a(x)| \nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \right) \cdot \nabla v \, \mathrm{d}x$$
  
 
$$+ \int_{\Omega} \left( |u|^{p-2} u + a(x)|u|^{q-2} u \right) v \, \mathrm{d}x$$
 (2.2)

for all  $u, v \in W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ . Here,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$  stands for the duality pairing between  $W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$  and its dual space  $W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)^*$ . The operator  $L: W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \to W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)^*$  has the following properties, see Liu-Dai [18] or Crespo-Blanco-Gasiński-Harjulehto-Winkert [10, Proposition 3.5].

**Proposition 2.3.** The operator L defined by (2.2) is bounded (that is, it maps bounded sets into bounded sets), continuous, strictly monotone (hence maximal monotone) and it is of type  $(S_+)$ .

Next, we recall some definitions and tools that will be used in this paper.

**Definition 2.4.** Let X be a real Banach space and let  $X^*$  be its dual space. We say that  $J \in C^1(X)$  satisfies the Cerami-condition (C-condition for short), if for any  $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq X$  such that  $\{J(u_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathbb{R}$  is bounded and  $(1+||u_n||)J'(u_n)\to 0$  in  $X^*$ , admits a strongly convergent subsequence.

The following result can be found in Ambrosetti-Malchiodi [1, Theorem 5.5].

**Proposition 2.5.** Suppose that X is a reflexive Banach space. If  $J: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is coercive and sequentially weakly lower semi-continuous on X, then I is bounded from below on X and has a minimum in X.

Let X be a Banach space,  $J \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . We introduce the following sets

$$J^{c} = \{ u \in X : J(u) \le c \},\$$
  

$$K_{J} = \{ u \in X : J'(u) = 0 \},\$$
  

$$K_{J}^{c} = \{ u \in K_{J} : J(u) = c \},\$$

where  $K_J$  is the set of all critical points of J.

Consider a topological pair (A, B) such that  $B \subseteq A \subseteq X$ . For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we denote by  $H_k(A, B)$  the  $k^{th}$ -relative singular homology group with integer coefficients for the pair (A, B). If  $u \in K_J^c$  is isolated, the critical groups of J at u are defined by

$$C_k(J, u) = H_k(J^c \cap U, J^c \cap U \setminus \{u\}) \text{ for all } k \ge 0,$$

with U being a neighborhood of u such that  $K_J \cap J^c \cap U = \{u\}$ . The excision property of singular homology implies that this definition is independent of the choice of the isolating neighborhood U.

If  $J \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$  satisfies the *C*-condition (see Definition 2.4),  $\inf J(K_J) > -\infty$ and  $c < \inf J(K_J)$ , then the critical groups of *J* at infinity are defined by

$$C_k(J,\infty) = H_k(X,J^c)$$
 for all  $k \ge 0$ 

Taking Corollary 5.3.12 of Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Repovš [32] into account, this definition is independent of the choice of the level  $c < \inf J(K_J)$ .

We use the local (m, n)-linking method to prove the existence of a solution of problem (1.1). The following definition is originally due to Perera [35] (see also Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Repovš [32, Definition 6.6.13]).

**Definition 2.6.** Let X be a Banach space,  $J \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ , and 0 an isolated critical point of J with J(0) = 0. Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose there exist a neighborhood U of 0 and nonempty sets  $E_0 \subseteq E \subseteq U$ ,  $D \subseteq X$  such that  $E_0 \cap D = \emptyset$  and

- (a)  $J^0 \cap U \cap K_J = \{0\};$
- (b) dim im  $i_*$  dim im  $j_* \ge n$ , where

 $i_*: H_{m-1}(E_0) \to H_{m-1}(X \setminus D) \text{ and } j_*: H_{m-1}(E_0) \to H_{m-1}(E)$ 

are the homomorphisms induced by the inclusion map  $i: E_0 \to X \setminus D$  and  $j: E_0 \to E$ ;

(c) 
$$J|_E \le 0 < J|_{U \cap D \setminus \{0\}}$$
.

Then we say that J has a "local (m, n)-linking" near the origin.

A very helpful result is the following corollary, see Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Repovš [32, Corollary 6.7.10].

**Proposition 2.7.** If X is a Banach space,  $J \in C^1(X)$  is bounded below and satisfies the C-condition, J has a local (m,n)-linking at 0 with  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and 0 is not a global minimizer of J, then J has at least three critical points.

Next, we want to study an appropriate eigenvalue problem following the ideas of Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Repovš [31] and Li-Liu-Cheng [17]. We consider the following weighted q-Laplacian eigenvalue problem with mixed boundary conditions

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(a(x)|\nabla u|^{q-2}\nabla u\right) + a(x)|u|^{q-2}u = \lambda|u|^{q-2}u \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega,$$
$$u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \sigma, \qquad (2.3)$$
$$\left(a(x)|\nabla u|^{q-2}\nabla u\right) \cdot \nu = \lambda|u|^{q-2}u \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma,$$

where q and  $a(\cdot)$  satisfy the hypothesis (1.2). The first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1(q) > 0$  of (2.3) has the following variational characterization

$$\lambda_1(q) = \inf\left\{\frac{\int_{\Omega} a(x) \left(|\nabla u|^q + |u|^q\right) \mathrm{d}x}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^q \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} |u|^q \mathrm{d}S} : u \in W^{1,\mathcal{K}}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}\right\}.$$
 (2.4)

The corresponding eigenfunction  $u_1 \in W^{1,\mathcal{K}}(\Omega)$  to the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 > 0$  satisfies  $u_1 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and  $u_1(x) > 0$  for a.a.  $x \in \Omega$  which can be shown similar to Proposition 3 of Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Zhang [33].

Furthermore, let  $\tilde{\lambda}_1(p)$  be the first eigenvalue of the following *p*-Laplacian mixed boundary value problem

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right) = \lambda |u|^{p-2}u \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \sigma, \qquad (2.5)$$
$$\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right) \cdot \nu = \lambda |u|^{p-2}u \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$

Based on the results of Li-Liu-Cheng [17] we know that the first eigenvalue  $\tilde{\lambda}_1(p)$  of (2.5) is positive, simple and isolate. Let  $\tilde{u}_1$  be the positive eigenfunction associated with  $\tilde{\lambda}_1(p)$ , then  $\tilde{u}_1 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Moreover, the second eigenvalue  $\tilde{\lambda}_2(p)$  of (2.5) can be written as

$$\tilde{\lambda}_2(p) = \inf \left\{ \tilde{\lambda}(p) : \tilde{\lambda}(p) \text{ is an eigenvalue of } (2.5) \text{ with } \tilde{\lambda}(p) > \tilde{\lambda}_1(p) \right\},$$

see Li-Liu-Cheng [17, Proposition 5.2].

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we are going to prove Theorem 1.1. Recall that  $X = \{u \in W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) : u|_{\sigma} = 0\}$  and let  $||u|| = ||u||_{1,\mathcal{H}}$  for all  $u \in X$  be the norm of X. The corresponding energy functional  $J: X \to \mathbb{R}$  related to problem (1.1) is given by

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p \right) dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} a(x) \left( |\nabla u|^q + |u|^q \right) dx$$
$$- \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx - \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) dS.$$

Under our assumptions, it is standard to check that  $J: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is well-defined and of class  $C^1$  and the solutions of problem (1.1) are the critical points of  $J: X \to \mathbb{R}$ . First, we will show that  $J: X \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the C-condition.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let hypotheses (1.2) and (H) be satisfied, then the energy functional  $J: X \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the C-condition. *Proof.* Let  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$  be a sequence such that

$$|J(u_n)| \le M_1 \quad \text{for some} \quad M_1 > 0 \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$(1 + ||u_n||)J'(u_n) \to 0 \quad \text{in } X^* \quad \text{for } n \to \infty.$$
(3.2)

By (3.2) and (2.2), we have

$$|\langle J'(u_n), v \rangle| = \left| \langle L(u_n), v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) v \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u_n) v \, \mathrm{d}S \right|$$
  
$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon_n \|v\|}{1 + \|u_n\|}$$
(3.3)

for all  $v \in X$  with  $\varepsilon_n \to 0^+$ , which implies that

$$-\frac{\varepsilon_n \|v\|}{1+\|u_n\|} \le \langle J'(u_n), v \rangle \le \frac{\varepsilon_n \|v\|}{1+\|u_n\|}.$$
(3.4)

Taking  $v = u_n$  in (3.3), it follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that,

$$-\int_{\Omega} \left[ (|\nabla u_n|^p + |u_n|^p) + a(x)(|\nabla u_n|^q + |u_n|^q) \right] \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) u_n \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u_n) u_n \,\mathrm{d}S \le \varepsilon_n.$$
(3.5)

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, by (3.1) we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{q}{p} (|\nabla u_n|^p + |u_n|^p) + a(x)(|\nabla u_n|^q + |u_n|^q) \right) dx$$
  
$$- \int_{\Omega} qF(x, u_n) dx - \int_{\Gamma} qG(x, u_n) dS \le qM_1.$$
(3.6)

Adding (3.5) and (3.6) we have

$$\left(\frac{q}{p}-1\right)\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^p + |u_n|^p) \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} \left(f(x, u_n)u_n - qF(x, u_n)\right) \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} \left(g(x, u_n)u_n - qG(x, u_n)\right) \,\mathrm{d}S \le M_2,$$
(3.7)

for some  $M_2 > 0$ . Since p < q, we get in particular that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( f(x, u_n) u_n - qF(x, u_n) \right) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} \left( g(x, u_n) u_n - qG(x, u_n) \right) \, \mathrm{d}S \le M_2.$$
(3.8)

**Claim:**  $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq X$  is bounded.

Suppose that  $||u_n|| \to \infty$ . We take  $v_n = \frac{u_n}{||u_n||}$  which implies that  $||v_n|| = 1$ . Then we may assume that

 $v_n \rightharpoonup v$  in X and  $v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $L^{r_1}(\Omega)$  and  $L^{r_2}(\partial \Omega)$ 

for some  $v \in X$ , see Proposition 2.1 (ii), (iv).

Suppose v = 0. Let  $\mu \ge 1$  and put  $\tilde{v}_n = (q\mu)^{\frac{1}{q}} v_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So we have  $\tilde{v}_n \to 0$  in  $L^{r_1}(\Omega)$  and  $L^{r_2}(\partial\Omega)$ , which implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x, \tilde{v}_n) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} G(x, \tilde{v}_n) \, \mathrm{d}S \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Thus, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x, \tilde{v}_n) \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} G(x, \tilde{v}_n) \,\mathrm{d}S < \varepsilon.$$
(3.9)

for all  $n \ge n_0$ . Now we choose  $t_n \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$J(t_n u_n) = \max\{J(t u_n) : 0 \le t \le 1\} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(3.10)

Recalling  $||u_n|| \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ , we can find  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$0 < \frac{(q\mu)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\|u_n\|} \le 1$$
 for all  $n \ge n_1$ . (3.11)

Taking  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \min\left\{\frac{q^{\frac{p}{q}}}{p}, 1\right\} \mu^{\frac{p}{q}}$  in (3.9), we conclude from (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) that

$$\begin{split} J(t_n u_n) &\geq J\left(\frac{(q\mu)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\|u_n\|}u_n\right) = J(\tilde{v}_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{p}q^{\frac{p}{q}}\mu^{\frac{p}{q}}\left(\|\nabla v_n\|_p^p + \|v_n\|_p^p\right) + \mu\left(\|\nabla v_n\|_{a,q}^q + \|v_n\|_{a,q}^q\right) \\ &- \int_{\Omega} F(x, \tilde{v}_n) \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma} G(x, \tilde{v}_n) \,\mathrm{d}S \\ &\geq \min\left\{\frac{q^{\frac{p}{q}}}{p}, 1\right\}\mu^{\frac{p}{q}}\varrho(v_n) - \int_{\Omega} F(x, \tilde{v}_n) \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma} G(x, \tilde{v}_n) \,\mathrm{d}S \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\min\left\{\frac{q^{\frac{p}{q}}}{p}, 1\right\}\mu^{\frac{p}{q}}, \end{split}$$

for all  $n \ge \max\{n_1, n_0\}$ . Since  $\mu \ge 1$  is arbitrary, we obtain

$$J(t_n u_n) \to +\infty \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$
 (3.12)

Recall that (3.1) implies  $J(u_n) \leq M_1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Obviously J(0) = 0. Hence there exists  $n_2 \geq \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$t_n \in (0,1) \quad \text{for all } n \ge n_2. \tag{3.13}$$

It follows from (3.10) and (3.13) by using the chain rule that

$$0 = t_n \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} J(tu_n)|_{t=t_n} = \langle J'(t_n u_n), t_n u_n \rangle$$
  
=  $\|\nabla (t_n u_n)\|_p^p + \|t_n u_n\|_p^p + \|\nabla (t_n u_n)\|_{a,q}^q + \|t_n u_n\|_{a,q}^q$   
 $- \int_{\Omega} f(x, t_n u_n) t_n u_n \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma} g(x, t_n u_n) t_n u_n \,\mathrm{d}S$ 

for all  $n \ge n_2$ , which can be equivalently written as

$$\|\nabla (t_n u_n)\|_p^p + \|t_n u_n\|_p^p + \|\nabla (t_n u_n)\|_{a,q}^q + \|t_n u_n\|_{a,q}^q$$
  
=  $\int_{\Omega} f(x, t_n u_n) t_n u_n \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} g(x, t_n u_n) t_n u_n \, \mathrm{d}S$  (3.14)

for all  $n \ge n_2$ . Hence, from (3.14) we have

$$qJ(t_n u_n) = \frac{q}{p} \left( \|\nabla (t_n u_n)\|_p^p + \|t_n u_n\|_p^p \right) + \|\nabla (t_n u_n)\|_{a,q}^q + \|t_n u_n\|_{a,q}^q$$

$$-\int_{\Omega} qF(x,t_nu_n) \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma} qG(x,t_nu_n) \,\mathrm{d}S$$
$$= \left(\frac{q}{p} - 1\right) \left( \|\nabla(t_nu_n)\|_p^p + \|t_nu_n\|_p^p \right)$$
$$+ \int_{\Omega} \left( f(x,t_nu_n)u_n - qF(x,t_nu_n) \right) \,\mathrm{d}x$$
$$+ \int_{\Gamma} \left( g(x,t_nu_n)u_n - qG(x,t_nu_n) \right) \,\mathrm{d}S$$

for all  $n \ge n_2$ . It follows from (3.7) that

 $q\varphi(t_n u_n) \leq M_2 \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_2.$ 

which contradicts (3.12).

Suppose now  $v \neq 0$ . Let  $\hat{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \Gamma$  and define

$$\hat{\Omega}_+ = \left\{ x \in \hat{\Omega} \ : \ v(x) > 0 \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\Omega}_- = \left\{ x \in \hat{\Omega} \ : \ v(x) < 0 \right\}.$$

Then at least one of these measurable sets has a positive Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Note that

$$u_n(x) \to +\infty$$
 for a.a.  $x \in \hat{\Omega}_+$  and  $u_n(x) \to -\infty$  for a.a.  $x \in \hat{\Omega}_-$ .

Let  $\hat{\Omega}_1 = \hat{\Omega}_+ \cup \hat{\Omega}_-$  and let  $|\cdot|$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Then,  $|\hat{\Omega}_1| > 0$ . By (H)(i) and (H)(iii), we have

$$f(x,y)y - qF(x,y) \ge c_1 \quad \text{for a.a. } x \in \Omega,$$
  
$$g(x,y)y - qG(x,y) \ge c_2 \quad \text{for a.a. } x \in \Gamma,$$

for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and for some  $c_1, c_2 > 0$ . Using this, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \left( f(x, u_n) u_n - qF(x, u_n) \right) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} \left( g(x, u_n) u_n - qG(x, u_n) \right) \, \mathrm{d}S \\ &= \int_{\hat{\Omega}_1} \left( f(x, u_n) u_n - qF(x, u_n) + g(x, u_n) u_n - qG(x, u_n) \right) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &+ \int_{\Omega \setminus \hat{\Omega}_1} \left( f(x, u_n) u_n - qF(x, u_n) + g(x, u_n) u_n - qG(x, u_n) \right) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\ge \int_{\hat{\Omega}_1} \left( f(x, u_n) u_n - qF(x, u_n) + g(x, u_n) u_n - qG(x, u_n) \right) \, \mathrm{d}x + c_3 |\Omega \setminus \hat{\Omega}_1| \end{split}$$

for some  $c_3 = \min\{c_1, c_2\} > 0$ . From (H)(iii) it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( f(x, u_n) u_n - qF(x, u_n) \right) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} \left( g(x, u_n) u_n - qG(x, u_n) \right) \, \mathrm{d}S \to +\infty,$$

which contradicts (3.8). Therefore,  $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq X$  is bounded. This proves the claim.

From the boundedness of the sequence, we can find a subsequence, still denoted by  $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ , such that

 $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in X and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $L^{r_1}(\Omega)$  and in  $L^{r_2}(\partial \Omega)$ .

We choose  $v = u_n - u$  in (3.3) and obtain using the convergence properties above that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle L(u_n), u_n - u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 0.$$

Therefore, it follows that  $u_n \to u$  in X since L is a mapping of type (S<sub>+</sub>), see Proposition 2.3.

Next, we prove that  $J: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is coercive.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let hypotheses (1.2) and (H) be satisfied, then the energy functional  $J: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is coercive.

Proof. Note that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left( \frac{F(x,t)}{|t|^q} \right) = \frac{f(x,t)|t|^q - q|t|^{q-2}tF(x,t)}{|t|^{2q}} \\ = \frac{|t|^{q-2}t(f(x,t)t - qF(x,t))}{|t|^{2q}}.$$

From hypothesis (H)(iii), for any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $M_{\delta} > 0$  such that

$$f(x,t)t - qF(x,t) \ge \delta$$
 for a.a.  $x \in \Omega$  and for all  $|t| \ge M_{\delta}$ .

Hence, we obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left( \frac{F(x,t)}{|t|^q} \right) \begin{cases} \geq \frac{\delta}{t^{q+1}}, & \text{if } t \geq M_{\delta}, \\ \leq -\frac{\delta}{|t|^{q+1}}, & \text{if } t \leq -M_{\delta}. \end{cases}$$

Integrating this inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{F(x,t)}{|t|^q} - \frac{F(x,u)}{|u|^q} \ge -\frac{\delta}{q} \left(\frac{1}{|t|^q} - \frac{1}{|u|^q}\right)$$
(3.15)

for a.a.  $x \in \Omega$  and for all  $|t| \ge |u| \ge M_{\delta}$ . By hypothesis (H)(ii), for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $M_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that

$$F(x,t) \leq \frac{1}{q} (\lambda_1(q) + \varepsilon) |t|^q$$
 for a.a.  $x \in \Omega$  and for all  $|t| \geq M_{\varepsilon}$ .

Using this inequality in (3.15) and letting  $|t| \to \infty$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{q}(\lambda_1(q) + \varepsilon) - \frac{F(x, u)}{|u|^q} \ge \frac{\delta}{q} \frac{1}{|u|^q},$$

that is,

$$(\lambda_1(q) + \varepsilon)|u|^q - qF(x, u) \ge \delta$$

for a.a.  $x \in \Omega$  and for all  $|u| \ge M = \max\{M_{\delta}, M_{\varepsilon}\}$ . Letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we obtain

$$\lambda_1(q)|u|^q - qF(x,u) \ge \delta. \tag{3.16}$$

Similar arguments apply to  $G(\cdot, \cdot)$ , that is, we can show

$$\lambda_1(q)|u|^q - qG(x,u) \ge \delta \tag{3.17}$$

for a.a.  $x \in \Gamma$  and for all  $|u| \ge M$ .

Now, we claim that  $J: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is coercive. Indeed, for any  $u \in X$ , it follows from  $X \subset W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1,\mathcal{K}}$ , (2.4) and (3.16) as well as (3.17) that

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p + |u|^p) \,\mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla u|^q + |u|^q) \,\mathrm{d}x$$
$$- \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) \,\mathrm{d}S$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p} + |u|^{p}) \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{q} \lambda_{1}(q) \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q} \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} |u|^{q} \, \mathrm{d}S \right)$$
$$- \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) \, \mathrm{d}S$$
$$= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p} + |u|^{p}) \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} (\lambda_{1}(q) \, |u|^{q} - qF(x, u)) \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$+ \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Gamma} (\lambda_{1}(q) \, |u|^{q} - qG(x, u)) \, \mathrm{d}S$$
$$\geq \frac{\delta}{q} \left( |\Omega| + |\Gamma| \right)$$

for a.a.  $x \in \Omega \cup \Gamma$  and for all  $|u| \ge M$ , which implies that  $J: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is coercive since  $\delta$  is arbitrary and  $|\Omega|, |\Gamma| > 0$ .

Finally, we will prove that  $J: X \to \mathbb{R}$  has a local (1, 1)-linking at 0.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let hypotheses (1.2) and (H) be satisfied, then the energy functional  $J: X \to \mathbb{R}$  has a local (1,1)-linking at 0.

*Proof.* Let V denote the space spanned by  $\tilde{u}_1(p)$  and let

$$W = \left\{ u \in X : \int_{\Omega} |\tilde{u}_1|^{p-1} u \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} |\tilde{u}_1|^{p-1} u \, \mathrm{d}S = 0 \right\}.$$

We claim that

$$X = V \oplus W. \tag{3.18}$$

Indeed, for any  $u \in X$ , writing  $u = \alpha \tilde{u}_1 + w$  where  $w \in X$  and

$$\alpha = \tilde{\lambda}_1(p) \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\tilde{u}_1|^{p-1} u \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} |\tilde{u}_1|^{p-1} u \, \mathrm{d}S}{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \tilde{u}_1|^p \, \mathrm{d}x}$$

Recall that

$$\tilde{\lambda}_1(p) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \tilde{u}_1|^p \, \mathrm{d}x}{\int_{\Omega} |\tilde{u}_1|^p \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} |\tilde{u}_1|^p \, \mathrm{d}S}$$

see (2.5). Thus we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\tilde{u}_1|^{p-1} w \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} |\tilde{u}_1|^{p-1} w \, \mathrm{d}S = 0.$$

Hence,  $w \in W$  and our claim is true.

We may assume that  $K_J$  is finite, otherwise we would have found infinite number of critical points of J which are solutions of problem (1.1). Now, let

$$B_{\rho} = \{ u \in X : \|u\| \le \rho \}$$

and choose  $\rho \in (0,1)$  small enough such that  $K_J \cap \overline{B}_{\rho} = \{0\}$ . Furthermore, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough such that the hypothesis (H)(iv) holds, that is,

$$\tilde{\lambda}_1(p) |t|^p \le \theta |t|^p \le pF(x,t) \le \tilde{\lambda} |t|^p \le \tilde{\lambda}_2(p) |t|^p, \qquad (3.19)$$

$$\tilde{\lambda}_1(p) \left| t \right|^p \le \theta \left| t \right|^p \le p G(x, t) \le \tilde{\lambda} \left| t \right|^p \le \tilde{\lambda}_2(p) \left| t \right|^p, \tag{3.20}$$

for all  $|t| \leq \varepsilon$ . Recall that all norms are equivalent on a finite-dimensional normed space, see, for example, Papageorgiou-Winkert [34, Proposition 3.1.17, p.183]. Thus making  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  smaller if necessary, we can obtain that  $||u|| \leq \rho$  implies

$$|u| \le \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } u \in V. \tag{3.21}$$

Then for  $t\tilde{u}_1 = u \in V \cap \overline{B}_{\rho}$  with  $t \in (0, 1)$ , by (3.19), (3.20) and (3.21) we have

$$\begin{split} J(u) \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1(p)t^p}{p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\tilde{u}_1|^p \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} |\tilde{u}_1|^p \, \mathrm{d}S \right) + \frac{t^q}{q} \int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla \tilde{u}_1|^q + |\tilde{u}_1|^q) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &- \frac{\theta t^p}{p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\tilde{u}_1|^p \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} |\tilde{u}_1|^p \, \mathrm{d}S \right) \\ &= \frac{t^q}{q} \int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla \tilde{u}_1|^q + |\tilde{u}_1|^q) \, \mathrm{d}x - \frac{t^p}{p} \left( \theta - \tilde{\lambda}_1(p) \right) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\tilde{u}_1|^p \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} |\tilde{u}_1|^p \, \mathrm{d}S \right) \\ &= c_1 t^q - c_2 t^p \quad \text{for some } c_1, c_2 > 0. \end{split}$$

Taking  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  small enough yields

$$J|_{V \cap \overline{B}_{\rho}} < 0 \tag{3.22}$$

since  $1 . Recall that <math>X = V \oplus W$ . It is clear that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x \ge \tilde{\lambda}_2(p) \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} |u|^p \, \mathrm{d}S \right) \quad \text{for all } u \in W.$$
(3.23)

Then for all  $u \in W \cap \overline{B}_{\rho} \setminus \{0\}$ , by hypothesis (H)(iv) and (3.23), we have J(u)

$$\begin{split} &= \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{p} (|\nabla u|^{p} + |u|^{p}) + \frac{a(x)}{q} (|\nabla u|^{q} + |u|^{q}) \right) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega \cap \{|u| < \varepsilon\}} F(x, u) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &- \int_{\Omega \cap \{|u| \geq \varepsilon\}} F(x, u) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma \cap \{|u| < \varepsilon\}} G(x, u) \, \mathrm{d}S - \int_{\Gamma \cap \{|u| \geq \varepsilon\}} G(x, u) \, \mathrm{d}S \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} |u|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}S \right) + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &+ \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla u|^{q} + |u|^{q}) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega \cap \{|u| \geq \varepsilon\}} F(x, u) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma \cap \{|u| \geq \varepsilon\}} G(x, u) \, \mathrm{d}S \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \left( 1 - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}_{2}(p)} \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla u|^{q} + |u|^{q}) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &+ \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x - c_{1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{r_{1}} \, \mathrm{d}x - c_{2} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{r_{2}} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\geq \frac{1}{q} \left( 1 - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}_{2}(p)} \right) \varrho(u) - c_{3} \, \|u\|^{r_{1}} - c_{4} \, \|u\|^{r_{2}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{q} \left( 1 - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}_{2}(p)} \right) \|u\|^{q} - c_{3} \, \|u\|^{r_{1}} - c_{4} \, \|u\|^{r_{2}} \end{split}$$

Since  $r_1, r_2 > q$  and  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  is sufficiently small, we have

$$J|_{W \cap \overline{B}_{\rho} \setminus \{0\}} > 0. \tag{3.24}$$

Now let

$$U = \overline{B}_{\rho}, \quad E_0 = V \cap \partial B_{\rho} \quad \text{and} \quad E = V \cap \overline{B}_{\rho}$$

Then we have  $0 \notin E_0 \subseteq E \subseteq U$  and from (3.22) as well as (3.24) we obtain  $E_0 \cap W = \emptyset$ .

From (3.18), for every  $u \in X$ , we can write it in the form

u = v + w with  $v \in V$  and  $w \in W$ .

Let  $h: [0,1] \times (X \setminus W) \to X \setminus W$  defined by

$$h(t, u) = (1 - t)u + t\rho \frac{v}{\|v\|}$$
 for all  $[0, 1]$  and for all  $u \in X \setminus W$ .

This implies

$$h(0, u) = u$$
 and  $h(1, u) = \rho \frac{v}{\|v\|} \in E_0.$ 

By Papageorgiou-Rădulescu [29, Definition 5.3.10], we know that  $E_0$  is a deformation retract of  $X \setminus W$ . So we have that

$$i_* \colon H_0(E_0) \to H_0(X \setminus \{0\})$$

is an isomorphism, see Eilenberg-Steenrod [13, Theorem 11.5] and Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Repovš [32, Remark 6.1.6]. Moreover,  $E = V \cap \overline{B}_{\rho}$  is contractible. Hence  $H_0(E, E_0) = 0$  due to Eilenberg-Steenrod [13, Theorem 11.5]. Let  $j_*: H_0(E_0) \rightarrow H_0(E)$ , then we have dim im  $j_* = 1$ , see Eilenberg-Steenrod [13, Remark 6.1.26]. Therefore, we have

$$\dim \operatorname{im} i_* - \dim \operatorname{im} j_* = 2 - 1 = 1.$$

Then we obtain that  $J: X \to \mathbb{R}$  has a local (1, 1)-linking at 0, see Definition 2.6.  $\Box$ 

By Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 6.6.17 of Papageorgiou-Rădulescu-Repovš [32], we know that

$$\dim C_1(J,0) \ge 1.$$

Based on the results above, we are now in the position to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. First, since  $W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r_1}(\Omega)$  and  $W^{1,\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r_2}(\partial\Omega)$ are compact due to Proposition 2.1, we know that  $J: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous. From Proposition 3.2 we conclude that  $J: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is coercive as well. Therefore, by Proposition 2.5, we deduce that there exists  $u_1 \in X$  such that

$$J(u_1) = \min\{J(u) : u \in X\}.$$

By the proof of Proposition 3.3, we see that

$$J(u_1) < 0 = J(0)$$

which implies that  $u_1 \neq 0$  and  $u_1 \in K_J$ , that is,  $u_1 \in K_J$  is a nontrivial solution of problem (1.1). Moreover, it follows from Propositions 2.7, 3.1 and 3.3 that there exists  $u_2 \in K_J$  such that  $u_2 \notin \{0, u_1\}$ , which implies that  $u_2$  is the second nontrivial solution of problem (1.1). From Theorem 3.1 of Gasiński-Winkert [15] we conclude that  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are bounded.

14

#### Acknowledgment

W. Liu was supported by the NNSF of China (Grant No. 11961030).

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

#### References

- A. Ambrosetti, A. Malchiodi, "Nonlinear Analysis and Semilinear Elliptic Problems", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [2] P. Baroni, M. Colombo, G. Mingione, Harnack inequalities for double phase functionals, Nonlinear Anal. 121 (2015), 206–222.
- [3] P. Baroni, M. Colombo, G. Mingione, Nonautonomous functionals, borderline cases and related function classes, St. Petersburg Math. J. 27 (2016), no. 3, 347–379.
- [4] P. Baroni, M. Colombo, G. Mingione, Regularity for general functionals with double phase, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 57 (2018), no. 2, Paper No. 62, 48 pp.
- [5] P. Baroni, T. Kuusi, G. Mingione, Borderline gradient continuity of minima, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 15 (2014), no. 2, 537–575.
- [6] S.-S. Byun, J. Oh, Regularity results for generalized double phase functionals, Anal. PDE 13 (2020), no. 5, 1269–1300.
- [7] F. Colasuonno, M. Squassina, Eigenvalues for double phase variational integrals, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 195 (2016), no. 6, 1917–1959.
- [8] M. Colombo, G. Mingione, Bounded minimisers of double phase variational integrals, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 218 (2015), no. 1, 219–273.
- [9] M. Colombo, G. Mingione, Regularity for double phase variational problems, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 215 (2015), no. 2, 443–496.
- [10] Á. Crespo-Blanco, L. Gasiński, P. Harjulehto, P. Winkert, A new class of double phase variable exponent problems: Existence and uniqueness, J. Differential Equations 323 (2022), 182–228.
- [11] C. De Filippis, G. Mingione, Lipschitz bounds and nonautonomous integrals, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 242 (2021), 973–1057.
- [12] C. De Filippis, G. Palatucci, Hölder regularity for nonlocal double phase equations, J. Differential Equations 267 (2019), no. 1, 547–586.
- [13] S. Eilenberg, N. Steenrod, "Foundations of Algebraic Topology", Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1952.
- [14] L. Gasiński, P. Winkert, Existence and uniqueness results for double phase problems with convection term, J. Differential Equations 268 (2020), no. 8, 4183–4193.
- [15] L. Gasiński, P. Winkert, Sign changing solution for a double phase problem with nonlinear boundary condition via the Nehari manifold, J. Differential Equations 274 (2021), 1037–1066.
- [16] P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, "Orlicz Spaces and Generalized Orlicz Spaces", Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [17] G. Li, H. Liu, B. Cheng, Eigenvalue problem for p-Laplacian with mixed boundary conditions, Math. Sci. (Springer) 7 (2013), Art. 8, 7 pp.
- [18] W. Liu, G. Dai, Existence and multiplicity results for double phase problem, J. Differential Equations 265 (2018), no. 9, 4311–4334.
- [19] W. Liu, G. Dai, Multiplicity results for double phase problems in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , J. Math. Phys. **61** (2020), no. 9, 091508, 20 pp.
- [20] W. Liu, G. Dai, Three ground state solutions for double phase problem, J. Math. Phys. 59 (2018), no. 12, 121503, 7 pp.
- [21] W. Liu, G. Dai, N.S. Papageorgiou, P. Winkert, Existence of solutions for singular double phase problems via the Nehari manifold method, Anal. Math. Phys. 12 (2022), no. 3, Paper No. 75, 25 pp.
- [22] W. Liu, P. Winkert, Combined effects of singular and superlinear nonlinearities in singular double phase problems in ℝ<sup>N</sup>, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **507** (2022), no. 2, Paper No. 125762, 19 pp.

15

- [23] Z. Liu, S. Zeng, L. Gasiński, Y.-H. Kim, Nonlocal double phase complementarity systems with convection term and mixed boundary conditions, J. Geom. Anal. 32 (2022), no. 9, Paper No. 241, 33 pp.
- [24] P. Marcellini, Regularity and existence of solutions of elliptic equations with p, q-growth conditions, J. Differential Equations 90 (1991), no. 1, 1–30.
- [25] P. Marcellini, Regularity of minimizers of integrals of the calculus of variations with nonstandard growth conditions, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 105 (1989), no. 3, 267–284.
- [26] J. Musielak, "Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces", Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [27] J. Ok, Partial regularity for general systems of double phase type with continuous coefficients, Nonlinear Anal. 177 (2018), 673–698.
- [28] J. Ok, Regularity for double phase problems under additional integrability assumptions, Nonlinear Anal. 194 (2020), 111408.
- [29] N.S. Papageorgiou, V.D. Rădulescu, Nonlinear nonhomogeneous Robin problems with superlinear reaction term, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 16 (2016), no. 4, 737–764.
- [30] N.S. Papageorgiou, V.D. Rădulescu, D.D. Repovš, Double-phase problems and a discontinuity property of the spectrum, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 147 (2019), no. 7, 2899–2910.
- [31] N.S. Papageorgiou, V.D. Rădulescu, D.D. Repovš, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for double-phase Robin problems, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 52 (2020), no. 3, 546–560.
- [32] N.S. Papageorgiou, V.D. Rădulescu, D.D. Repovš, "Nonlinear Analysis-Theory and Methods", Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [33] N.S. Papageorgiou, V.D. Rădulescu, Y. Zhang, *Resonant double phase equations*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. **64** (2022), Paper No. 103454, 20 pp.
- [34] N.S. Papageorgiou, P. Winkert, "Applied Nonlinear Functional Analysis", De Gruyter, Berlin, 2018.
- [35] K. Perera, Homological local linking, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 3 (1998), no. 1-2, 181–189.
- [36] K. Perera, M. Squassina, Existence results for double-phase problems via Morse theory, Commun. Contemp. Math. 20 (2018), no. 2, 1750023, 14 pp.
- [37] M.A. Ragusa, A. Tachikawa, Regularity for minimizers for functionals of double phase with variable exponents, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 9 (2020), no. 1, 710–728.
- [38] S. Zeng, Y. Bai, L. Gasiński, P. Winkert, Convergence analysis for double phase obstacle problems with multivalued convection term, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 10 (2021), no. 1, 659–672.
- [39] S. Zeng, Y. Bai, L. Gasiński, P. Winkert, Existence results for double phase implicit obstacle problems involving multivalued operators, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 59 (2020), no. 5, 176.
- [40] V.V. Zhikov, Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 50 (1986), no. 4, 675–710.
- [41] V.V. Zhikov, On Lavrentiev's phenomenon, Russian J. Math. Phys. 3 (1995), no. 2, 249–269.
- [42] V.V. Zhikov, On some variational problems, Russ. J. Math. Phys. 5 (1) (1997) 105–116.

(Y. Yang) School of Science, Jiangxi University of Science and Technology, Ganzhou, Jiangxi 341000, P.R. China

Email address: 1428163899@qq.com

(W. Liu) 1. School of Science, Jiangxi University of Science and Technology, Ganzhou, Jiangxi 341000, P.R. China; 2. School of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Yantai University, Yantai 264005, Shandong, P.R. China

Email address: liuwul000@gmail.com

(P. Winkert) Technische Universität Berlin, Institut für Mathematik, Strasse des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany

Email address: winkert@math.tu-berlin.de

(X. Yan) School of Science, Jiangxi University of Science and Technology, Ganzhou, Jiangxi 341000, P.R. China

Email address: 876063744@qq.com